# Fast Response Ammonia Gas Sensor Based on SnO<sub>2</sub> Nanoporous Synthesized By the Simple Heat-Up Method

**Pitchanunt Chaiyo\*** 

Received: 27 April 2023 Revised: 6 June 2023 Accepted: 8 June 2023

# ABSTRACT

This paper investigated the electrical and gas-sensing properties of  $SnO_2$  nanoporous synthesized via the simple heat-up method. The I-V characteristics of the  $SnO_2$  nanoporous revealed Ohmic contact materials. The  $SnO_2$  nanoporous sensor was tested upon exposure to 50 ppm ammonia gas at 250 °C. It showed an immediate response to ammonia with a recovery time of 200 s in the first cycle. Additionally, the  $SnO_2$  nanoporous sensor was tested for its response to ammonia gas at concentrations ranging from 10 ppm to 90 ppm at a temperature of 250 °C. The results indicated that the  $SnO_2$  nanoporous sensor responded to ammonia gas at low concentrations, even as low as 10 ppm. Furthermore, the relative response value of the  $SnO_2$  nanoporous sensor demonstrated an increase in relative response value with increasing NH<sub>3</sub> concentration.

Keywords: SnO<sub>2</sub>, Nanoporous, Heat-up method, Ammonia sensors, Gas sensor

Faculty of Sports and Health Science, Thailand National Sports University Sisaket Campus, Sisaket 33000, Thailand

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author, email: Pitchanunt.chaiyo@gmail.com

## Introduction

Currently, semiconductor metal oxide gas sensors, especially nanostructured metal oxides, have gained much attention from research communities due to their properties, such as high response, fast response and recovery time, room temperature operation, and low cost [1-4]. The most popular semiconductor metal oxide gas sensor is tin dioxide. Tin dioxide  $(SnO_2)$  is an N-type semiconductor with a stable wide bandgap of 3.6 eV [5]. SnO2 nanostructured material has been researched for its ability to detect various gases, including nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>), formaldehyde gas (HCHO), carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$ , carbon monoxide (CO), hydrogen sulfide  $(H_2S)$ , ammonia  $(NH_3)$ , and ethanol, among others [6-11]. However, tin dioxide nanomaterials can be synthesized by using various methods such as thermal evaporation, chemical vapor deposition (CVD), physical vapor evaporation (PVD), sol-gel, hydrothermal, atomic layer deposition (ALD), microwave-assisted, precipitation, and electrospinning [6,12-18]. These methods have their advantages and disadvantages for synthesizing SnO<sub>2</sub> nanomaterials. The advantages of these methods for the synthesis of  $SnO_2$  nanomaterials include high crystallinity, selective deposition, and high purity. However, these methods can also have disadvantages such as high equipment costs, complexity, high temperatures, high-pressure vessels, hazardous precursor gases, and long processing time [19]. One interesting method for synthesizing semiconductor nanomaterials is the heat-up method because it is relatively simple, uncomplicated, low-cost, and cost-effective [20, 21]. Moreover, it can produce various nanostructured materials, including oxides, metals, and semiconductors. However, the heat-up method has the following limitations: temperature instability, difficulties with product reproducibility, and difficulties in controlling the size and shape [22, 23].

Ammonia gas is a toxic, colorless, odorous, and corrosive gas with the general chemical name of ammonia anhydrous. It is an inorganic substance with the molecular formula  $NH_3$ . Ammonia is used in various chemical industries, such as fertilizer production, petrochemical equipment, beverage production, the automotive industry, explosives, plastics, and pesticides. Ammonia is widely used in the refrigeration industry as a refrigerant for various products, including vegetable and fruit juices, soft drinks, breweries and wineries, meat processing, cold storage warehouses, and ice rinks for sports [24]. However, ammonia has highly toxic and corrosive properties that can harm the skin, eyes, throat, and lungs of those who inhale it. Therefore, it is crucial not to inhale it above the safe level, as it can cause life-threatening diseases. The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) has set an acceptable exposure limit for ammonia to humans at 25 ppm for 8 hours and 35 ppm for 15 minutes [24, 25].

A research study on the detection of ammonia gas by using tin dioxide nanomaterials has been reported. Phuoc et al. synthesized  $SnO_2$  porous nanofiber by using a facile electrospinning method and examined its response to H<sub>2</sub>S gas at concentrations of 0.1-1 ppm and temperatures of 150 to 450 °C. The fabricated sensor demonstrated fast response and recovery times, with a gas response of 15.2 [26]. Shruthi et al. fabricated Ag:Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–SnO<sub>2</sub> core-shell-based nanostructured sensor by using a simple slurry coating method. The Ag:Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–SnO<sub>2</sub> sensor was tested for ammonia concentrations ranging from 1 ppm to 100 ppm at room temperature. The sensor exhibited rapid response (2 s) and recovery times (8 s), with the highest response [27].

In this study, we present the SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoporous materials synthesized using a simple heat-up method at temperatures of  $110 \, ^{\circ}$ C and  $240 \, ^{\circ}$ C. Additionally, we report on the dynamic response and recovery cycle of SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoporous when exposed to NH<sub>3</sub> gas concentrations of 50 ppm at a temperature of  $250 \, ^{\circ}$ C. To confirm the results of this study, we continued to observe the dynamic response and recovery cycle of SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoporous when exposed to NH<sub>3</sub> gas concentrations ranging from 10 ppm to 90 ppm at a temperature of  $250 \, ^{\circ}$ C.

# **Materials and Methods**

# Fabrication of SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoporous

The SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoporous were prepared by the heat-up method. Firstly, 3.102 g of Tin (IV) bis (acetylacetonate) dichloride and 2.069 g of 1,2-hexadecane-diol were added to dibenzyl ether (60 mL), which served as the solvent in a three-necked flask, as shown in Figure 1. Then, 6 mL of oleyl amine was added as a reducing and growth agent to regulate the crystal growth. After that, the solvent in a three-necked flask was heated at 110  $^{\circ}$ C for 30 min under oxygen-free vacuum conditions and then heated to 240  $^{\circ}$ C for 30 min. Next, the sample was washed and centrifuged three times with ethanol. Finally, the sample was dispersed in deionized (DI) water [20, 21].



Figure 1 Schematic of SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoporous preparation by the heat-up method.

# Characterization of SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoporous

The morphology of the  $SnO_2$  nanoporous was investigated by using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Hitachi S-4800). Then, the crystal structure of the sample was analyzed by using an

X-ray diffraction technique (XRD, Rigaku SmartLab High-Resolution X-ray Diffractometer (HR-XRD) using Cu Ka ( $\lambda = 1.5418$  Å) at 45 kV and 200 mA). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, K-alpha, Thermo Scientific using conventional monochromatic Al K $\alpha$  radiation (h $\nu = 1486.6$  eV) with  $\theta = 0^{\circ}$  (normal emission) and a pass energy of 50 eV was used to examine the sample components.

#### Fabrication of SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoporous sensor

The synthesized  $SnO_2$  nanoporous in DI water were dropped onto the interdigitated electrodes (IDE) electrode (Cr/Au, 3/70 nm) with a volume of 2 µl, as shown in Figure 2. Then, the sample was heated in an N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere for 3 minutes at 300 °C to improve ohmic contact.



Figure 2 Schematic of SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoporous sensor fabrication.

### Measurement of the electrical and gas-sensing properties

The electrical properties of the sample were measured in the range of -3 V to 3 V at temperatures of room temperature (RT) and 250 °C. The sensitivity of the SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoporous sensor was measured by using a Keithley-4200 semiconductor parameter analyzer at 250 °C. The sample's resistance, current, and response were examined by exposing them to NH<sub>3</sub> gas at concentrations of 50 ppm and different concentrations ranging from 10-90 ppm. The heater and gas flow were controlled by a Hanyoung Nux PX7 and a Victor SR312, respectively. The relative response can be calculated via the following equation (1)

$$RH = \frac{|R_a - R_g|}{R_a} \times 100\%$$
(1)

where RH is relative response,  $R_a$  is resistance in an air atmosphere, and  $R_g$  is resistance in an ammonia atmosphere [28].

# **Results and discussion**

The sample's surface morphology was investigated using the SEM technique, as shown in Figure 3(a-b). The SEM images showed an area with a cracked porous structure at a magnification 4,000x (bar 1 µm) and 16,000x (bar 300 nm). Figure 3(c) shows high-magnification SEM images (magnification

30,000x, bar 200 nm). It can be seen that the surface morphology exhibits numerous pores in the SnO<sub>2</sub> materials, which greatly helps in improving the response of the gas sensor. However, the pore diameters vary in the range of 10-100 nm.

The crystal structure of the  $SnO_2$  nanoporous was investigated by the X-ray diffractometer technique, as shown in Figure 4. The XRD pattern (Figure 4) shows the  $SnO_2$  phase at planes of (110), (101), and (211), which correspond to the peaks of 26.10, 33.55, and 51.35, respectively. Moreover, the results indicated a tetragonal crystal system according to the JCPDS pattern (41-1445) [29, 30]. Additionally, the XRD pattern of the sample showed low intensity and small peaks. As a result, the  $SnO_2$  nanoporous were relatively low in crystallinity. The atomic percentages of the sample were investigated by the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy technique, as shown in Table 1. The atomic percentages of Sn, O, and C were 18.67, 45.33, and 36.00, respectively. Therefore, the ratio of Sn and O atoms was approximately 1:2.



Figure 3 (a) Low and (b) high magnification SEM images of the  $SnO_2$  nanoporous.



Figure 4 XRD pattern of the SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoporous with JCPDS file No.41-1445.

Table	1	Atomic	percent	of	the	SnO <sub>2</sub>	nano	porous
-------	---	--------	---------	----	-----	------------------	------	--------

Elements	Atomic percent (%)
Sn 3d	18.67
O 1s	45.33
C 1s	36.00

Figure 5 shows the XPS patterns of the  $\text{SnO}_2$  nanoporous with elemental descriptions. The survey spectrum of the  $\text{SnO}_2$  nanoporous (Figure 5(a)) demonstrated that the sample consisted of peaks from the elements Sn, O, and C. Figure 5(b) shows the peaks of the Sn element. The Sn 3d symmetrical peaks appeared at 495.1 eV and 486.7 eV, corresponding to Sn  $3d_{3/2}$  and Sn  $3d_{5/2}$ , respectively. Moreover, the binding energy separation between Sn  $3d_{3/2}$  and Sn  $3d_{5/2}$  peaks was 8.4 eV. This result could be attributed to the binding energy of the Sn<sup>4+</sup> and was consistent with previous reports [31, 32]. Figure 5(c) demonstrates the O element peaks, with O 1s appearing at 533.1 eV, 531.8 eV, and 530.6 eV corresponding to adsorbed oxygen (O<sub>ads</sub>), defective oxygen (O<sub>v</sub>) and lattice oxygen (O<sub>L</sub>), respectively [32].

Figure 6 demonstrates the I-V characteristic curves of the SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoporous measured at temperatures of RT (red line) and 250 °C (black line). The results showed linear relations and indicated ohmic contact materials [33]. In addition, the resistance values were calculated via the I-V linear relation slope. Therefore, the resistance values of the SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoporous were  $6.54 \times 10^4$  M $\Omega$  and  $2.45 \times 10^2$  M $\Omega$  measured at temperatures of RT and 250 °C, respectively. The results suggested that the electrical conductivity of the SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoporous was greater when measured at 250 °C than when measured at RT.



**Figure 5** XPS patterns of the as-synthesized  $SnO_2$  nanoporous: (a) survey spectrum and high-resolution spectra of (b) Sn 3d, (c) O 1s, and (d) C 1s.



Figure 6 I-V curves of  $SnO_2$  nanoporous measured at RT and 250 °C.

Figure 7(a) shows the dynamic response and recovery time cycles of the  $SnO_2$  nanoporous sensor upon exposure to  $NH_3$  gas with a concentration of 50 ppm at 250 °C. The response and recovery test cycle were repeated two times. The results unambiguously showed that the two cycles' response values differed. The first cycle had an immediate response to the  $NH_3$  gas, with a response of about 60%. Then, the response value of the first cycle decreased to about 40%. According to Figure 7(b), the

first cycle recovery time  $(t_{rec})$  was 200 s. The high-resolution second response cycle of the SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoporous sensor (Figure 7(c)) showed a slow response time, with a response time value  $(t_{res})$  of approximately 80 s, which is clearly seen as a bend in the response time curve. In addition, the recovery time  $(t_{rec})$  of this cycle was 300 s. This result showed that the sensor had a slower response to ammonia gas and a longer recovery time.



Figure 7 (a) Relative response curves of  $SnO_2$  nanoporous sensor upon exposure to  $NH_3$  gas concentration of 50 ppm at 250°C. The high-resolution response of (b) first and (c) second cycle.

However, the SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoporous sensors were tested for their response to NH<sub>3</sub> gas at concentrations ranging from 10 ppm to 90 ppm at 250  $^{\circ}$ C, as shown in Figure 8, and the response and recovery time of the SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoporous sensor demonstrated an increase in response time with increasing NH<sub>3</sub> concentration [34, 35]. According to Figure 8, the SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoporous sensor had the lowest response at a concentration of 10 ppm and greatest at a concentrations ranging from 10 ppm to 50 ppm were similar. When the response value reached its maximum value, it gradually decreased. However, the response and recovery cycles of the SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoporous sensors at NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations ranging from 70 ppm to 90 ppm exhibited a similar curve bending in the response time.



Figure 8 The response and recovery cycles of the  $SnO_2$  nanoporous sensor were investigated upon exposure to  $NH_3$  gas in various concentrations ranging from 10 ppm to 90 ppm at 250 °C.

Consequently, their response to  $NH_3$  gas reached a stable state with response values of approximately 61%, 66%, and 71%, corresponding to concentrations of 70 ppm, 80 ppm, and 90 ppm, respectively. Moreover, the response and recovery cycle of the  $SnO_2$  nanoporous sensor at an  $NH_3$  gas concentration of 60 ppm exhibited the most stable response values compared to the cycles at other concentrations. This cycle showed no bending in the response time and maintained a constant response value during the presence and absence of ammonia gas in the chamber. Therefore, the results suggested that the  $SnO_2$  nanoparticle sensor was suitable for detecting ammonia gas at a concentration of 60 ppm. The response remained stable for 1800 s with a response value of approximately 56%.

Figure 9 illustrates the gas sensor mechanism of the  $SnO_2$  nanoporous sensor in an ammonia atmosphere at 250 °C. The chemical reaction of the  $SnO_2$  nanoporous sensor in air and ammonia atmospheres can be described via the following equation (2-8), respectively [28, 34, 35].

$$O_{2(ads)} \rightleftharpoons O_{2(ads)}$$
 (2)

$$O_{2(ads)} + e \rightarrow \overrightarrow{O}_{2(ads)} \qquad (T < 100 \text{ °C}) \qquad (3)$$

$$O_{2(ads)}^{-} + e^{-} \rightleftharpoons 2O_{(ads)}^{-}$$
 (100°C  $\leq T \leq 300$ °C) (4)

- $O_{(ads)}^{-} + e^{-} \rightleftharpoons O_{(ads)}^{2^{-}}$  (T > 300 °C) (5)
- $2\mathrm{NH}_3 + 3\mathrm{O}_{(\mathrm{ads})}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{N}_2 + 3\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} + 3\mathrm{e} \tag{6}$
- $2NH_3 + 4O_{(ads)} \rightleftharpoons N_2O + 3H_2O + 4e$ (7)
- $2NH_3 + 5O_{(ads)}^- \rightleftharpoons 2NO + 3H_2O + 5e-$ (8)

In air, the initial resistance of the  $SnO_2$  nanoporous was high because the oxygen at the surface area of the  $SnO_2$  nanoporous was capturing the nearly free electrons, as shown in the reaction equation (4). Therefore, the surface area of the  $SnO_2$  nanoporous had a low free electron concentration. Additionally, the oxygen atoms become oxygen ions. As a result, the space charge region width became wider. However, when the surface area of the  $SnO_2$  nanoporous was exposed to ammonia gas, the space charge region width became narrow because the oxygen ions reacted with ammonia gas, as shown in the reaction equation (6-7). This reaction resulted in free electrons from the previous reaction being released onto the surface area of the  $SnO_2$  nanoporous, causing the resistance of the  $SnO_2$  nanoporous to be lower than the initial resistance value [5, 36-38].



Figure 9 Schematic of the sensing mechanism of  $SnO_2$  nanoporous (a) in air and (b)  $NH_3$  atmospheres.

# Conclusions

In summary,  $\text{SnO}_2$  nanoporous were successfully synthesized by using the simple heat-up method. The surface morphology of the as-synthesized sample was investigated by using SEM techniques, demonstrating a cracked, porous structure for the  $\text{SnO}_2$  nanoporous. The crystal structure of the  $\text{SnO}_2$  nanoporous was analyzed by using XRD techniques, revealing a tetragonal crystal structure for  $\text{SnO}_2$ . The I-V characteristics of the  $\text{SnO}_2$  nanoporous exhibited ohmic contact materials. The  $\text{SnO}_2$  nanoporous sensor showed a fast response and recovery time when exposed to  $\text{NH}_3$  gas at a concentration of 50 ppm and a temperature of 250 °C. Additionally, this sensor responded to  $\text{NH}_3$  gas at low concentrations of 10 ppm, with the response value increasing as the  $\text{NH}_3$  concentration increased.

# Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Thin Film Materials Research Center at the Korea Research Institute of Chemical Technology and Thailand National Sports University Sisaket Campus. The authors would like to thank them for the financial support and research facility. Finally, the authors would like to especially thank Assoc. Prof. Dr. Supakorn Pukird, Dr. Ki-Seok An, and Dr. Yeong Min Kwon for helping and giving advice.

# References

- 1. Zhen YX, Song BY, Liu WX, Ye JX, Zhang XF, Deng ZP, et al. Ultra-high response and low temperature NO<sub>2</sub> sensor based on mesoporous SnO<sub>2</sub> hierarchical microtubes synthesized by biotemplating process. Sens Actuators B Chem. 2022;363:131852.
- Song BY, Li C, Lv MS, Zhang XF, Deng ZP, Xu YM, et al. Biotemplate-inherited porous SnO<sub>2</sub> monotubes with oxygen vacancies for ultra-high response and fast detection of nitric oxide at low temperature. Sens Actuators B Chem. 2023; 387:133811.
- 3. Yan J, Guo X, Zhu Y, Song Z, Lee LYS. Solution-processed metal doping of sub-3 nm SnO<sub>2</sub> quantum wires for enhanced H<sub>2</sub>S sensing at low temperature. J Mater Chem A. 2022;10:15657.
- 4. Zappa D, Galstyan V, Kaur N, Arachchige M, Sisman O, Comini E. Metal oxide-based heterostructures for gas sensors-a review. Anal Chim Acta. 2018;1039:1-23.
- Zhang L, Tong R, Ge W, Guo R, Shirsath SE, Zhu J. Facile one-step hydrothermal synthesis of SnO<sub>2</sub> microspheres with oxygen vacancies for superior ethanol sensor. J Alloys Compd. 2020;814:152266.
- Bai M, Chen M, Li X, Wang Q. One-step CVD growth of ZnO nanorod/SnO<sub>2</sub> film heterojunction for NO<sub>2</sub> gas sensor. Sens Actuators B Chem. 2022;373:132738.
- Li N, Fan Y, Shi Y, Xiang Q, Wang X, Xu J. A low temperature formaldehyde gas sensor based on hierarchical SnO/SnO<sub>2</sub> nano-flowers assembled from ultrathin nanosheets: Synthesis, sensing performance and mechanism. Sens Actuators B Chem. 2019;294:106-15.
- 8. Panday M, Upadhyay GK, Purohit LP. Sb incorporated SnO<sub>2</sub> nanostructured thin films for CO<sub>2</sub> gas sensing and humidity sensing applications. J Alloys Compd. 2022;904:164053.
- Jian KS, Chang CJ, Wu JJ, Chang YC, Tsay CY, Chen JH, et al. High response CO sensor based on a polyaniline/SnO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite. Polymers. 2019;11:184.
- Kumar A, Shringi AK, Kumar M. RF sputtered CuO anchored SnO<sub>2</sub> for H<sub>2</sub>S gas sensor. Sens Actuators B Chem. 2022;370:132417.
- Sun X, Chen T, Liang Y, Zhang C, Zhai S, Sun J, et al. Enhanced sensitivity of SAW based ammonia sensor employing GO-SnO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposites. Sens Actuators B Chem. 2023;375:132884.
- Duoc VT, Hung CM, Nguyen H, Duy NV, Hieu NV, Hoa ND, et al. Room temperature highly toxic NO<sub>2</sub> gas sensors based on rootstock/scion nanowires of SnO<sub>2</sub>/ZnO, ZnO/SnO<sub>2</sub>, SnO<sub>2</sub>/SnO<sub>2</sub> and, ZnO/ZnO. Sens Actuators B Chem. 2021;348:130652.
- Sisman O, Kaur N, Sberveglieri G, Núñez-Carmona E, Sberveglieri V, Comini E. UV-enhanced humidity sensing of Chitosan–SnO<sub>2</sub>. Nanomaterials (Basel). 2020;10(2):329.

- Khan D, Rehman A, Rafiq MZ, Khan AM, Ali M. Improving the optical properties of SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles through Ni doping by sol- gel technique. Curr Res Green Sustain Chem. 2021;4:100079.
- 15. Hsu SH, Liao HT, Chen RS, Chiu SC, Tsai FY, Lee MS, et al. The influence on surface characteristic and biocompatibility of nano-SnO<sub>2</sub>-modified titanium implant materials using atomic layer deposition technique. J Formos Med Assoc. 2023;122:230-8.
- Gaber A, Attia SY, Salem AMS, Mohamed SG, El-Hout SI. Microwave-assisted fabrication of SnO<sub>2</sub> nanostructures as electrode for high-performance pseudocapacitors. J Energy Storage. 2023;59:106358.
- 17. Naz S, Javid I, Konwar S, Surana K, Singh PK, Sahni M, et al. A simple low cost method for synthesis of SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles and its characterization. SN Appl Sci. 2020;2:975.
- 18. Zhang J, Ma S, Wang B, Pei S. Preparation of composite SnO<sub>2</sub>/CuO nanotubes by electrospinning and excellent gas selectivity to ethanol. Sens Actuators A Phys. 2021;332(1):113090.
- Kong Y, Li Y, Cui X, Su L, Ma D, Lai T, et al. SnO<sub>2</sub> nanostructured materials used as gas sensors for the detection of hazardous and flammable gases: a review. Nano Mater Sci. 2022;4(4):339-350.
- Pramata AD, Suematsu K, Quitain AT, Sasaki M, Kida T. Synthesis of highly luminescent SnO<sub>2</sub> Nanoporous: Analysis of their defect-related photoluminescence using polyoxometalates as quenchers. Adv Funct Mater 2018;28:1704620.
- Purbia R, Kwon YM, Kim HD, Lee YS, Shin H, Baik M. Zero-dimensional heterostructures: N-doped graphene dots/SnO<sub>2</sub> for ultrasensitive and selective NO<sub>2</sub> gas sensing at low temperatures. J Mater Chem. A. 2020;8:11734.
- 22. Kulpa-Greszta M, Tomaszewska A, Dziedzic A, Pązik R. Rapid hot-injection as a tool for control of magnetic nanoparticle size and morphology. RSC Adv. 2021;11:20708-19.
- 23. Muro-Cruces J, Roca AG, López-Ortega A, Fantechi E, del-Pozo-Bueno D, Estrade S, et al. Precise size control of the growth of  $Fe_3O_4$  nanocubes over a wide size range using a rationally designed one-pot synthesis. ACS Nano. 2019;13(7):7716-28.
- Kwak D, Lei Y, Maric R. Ammonia gas sensors: a comprehensive review. Talanta. 2019;204:713-30.
- 25. Verma A, Kumar P, Singh VK, Mishra VN, Prakash R. Introduction of graphene oxide nanosheets in self-oriented air-stable poly(3-hexylthiophene-2,5-diyl) to enhance the ammonia gas sensing of a p-channel thin film transistor. Sens Actuators B Chem. 2023;385:133661.
- Phuoc PH, Hung CM, Toan NV, Duy NV, Hoa ND, Hieu NV. One-step fabrication of SnO<sub>2</sub> porous nanofiber gas sensors for sub- ppm H<sub>2</sub>S detection. Sens Actuators B Physical. 2020;303:111722.
- 27. Shruthi J, Jayababu N, Reddy MVR. Ag:Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SnO<sub>2</sub> core-shell-based nanostructured sensor for achieving high ammonia sensing performance. Appl Phys A Mater Sci Process. 2022;128:999.

- Krishna KG, Parne S, Pothukanuri N, Kathirvelu V, Gandi S, Joshi D. Nanostructured metal oxide semiconductor-based gas sensors: A comprehensive review. Sens Actuators A Phys. 2022;341:113578.
- Mahjouri S, Kosari-Nasab M, Mohajel Kazemi E, Divband B, Movafeghi A. Effect of Agdoping on cytotoxicity of SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in tobacco cell cultures. J Hazard Mater. 2020;381:121012.
- 30. Li J, Chen C, Li J, Li S, Dong C. Synthesis of tin-glycerate and it conversion into  $SnO_2$  spheres for highly sensitive low-ppm-level acetone detection. J Mater Sci Mater Electron. 2020;31:16539–47.
- He T, Liu W, Lv T, Ma M, Liu Z, Vasiliev A, et al. MXene/SnO<sub>2</sub> heterojunction based chemical gas sensors. Sens Actuators B Chem. 2021;329:129275.
- Choi PG, Izu N, Shirahata N, Masuda Y. SnO<sub>2</sub> Nanosheets for selective alkene gas sensing. ACS. Nano Mater. 2019;2:1820-27.
- 33. Soussi I, Garmim T, Karzazi O, Rmili A, Bachiri AE, Louardi A, et al. Effect of (Co, Fe, Ni) doping on structural, optical and electrical properties of sprayed SnO<sub>2</sub> thin film. Surf Interfaces. 2020;19:100467.
- 34. Maheswari S, Karunakaran M, Kasirajan K, Chandrasekar LB, Boomi P. Yttrium-Substituted  $SnO_2$  thin films and its gas sensing activity against  $NH_3$  gas: characterization and sensitivity evaluation. Sens Actuators A Phys. 2020;315:112303.
- 35. Yang T, Zhang X, Shiu BC, Lou CW, Lin JH, Li TT. Wearable smart yarn sensor based on ZnO/SnO<sub>2</sub> heterojunction for ammonia detecting. J Mater Sci. 2022;57:21946-59.
- 36. Zhang N, Fan Y, Lu Y, Li C, Zhou J, Li X, et al. Synthesis of au-decorated SnO<sub>2</sub> crystallites with exposed (221) facets and their enhanced acetylene sensing properties. Sens Actuators B Chem. 2020;307:127629.
- 37. Kang X, Deng N, Yan Z, Pan Y, Sun W, Zhang Y. Resistive-type VOCs and pollution gases sensor based on SnO<sub>2</sub>: a review. Mater Sci Semicond Process. 2022;138:106246.
- 38. Masuda Y. Recent advances in  $SnO_2$  nanostructure based gas sensors. Sens Actuators B Chem. 2022;364:131876.