

บทความรับเชิญ**An Intermediate Value Theorem for Graph Parameters****Narong Punnim***

ABSTRACT

Let \mathcal{G} be the class of all graphs and $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. A graph parameter π is said to satisfy an *intermediate value theorem over a class of graphs* \mathcal{J} if $G, H \in \mathcal{J}$ with $\pi(G) < \pi(H)$, then for every integer k with $\pi(G) \leq k \leq \pi(H)$ there is a graph $K \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $\pi(K) = k$. If a graph parameter π satisfies an intermediate value theorem over \mathcal{J} , then we write $(\pi, \mathcal{J}) \in \text{IVT}$. Thus if $(\pi, \mathcal{J}) \in \text{IVT}$, then $\{\pi(G) : G \in \mathcal{J}\}$ is uniquely determined by $\min(\pi, \mathcal{J}) := \min\{\pi(G) : G \in \mathcal{J}\}$ and $\max(\pi, \mathcal{J}) := \max\{\pi(G) : G \in \mathcal{J}\}$. The problem of finding $\min(\pi, \mathcal{J})$ and $\max(\pi, \mathcal{J})$ is called the *extremal problem in graph theory*. We will discuss our results in this direction. Some open problems are also reviewed.

AMS Subject Classification 2010: 05C07, 05C35

Keywords: intermediate value theorem, extremal problem, graph parameter

1. Introduction

Only finite simple graphs are considered in this paper. For the most part, our notation and terminology follows that of Bondy and Murty [1].

Let \mathcal{J} be a class of non-isomorphic graphs. A *graph transformation on \mathcal{J}* is a subset of $\mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J}$. Let ρ be a graph transformation on \mathcal{J} . We can define the ρ -*graph* having \mathcal{J} as its vertex set and there is a directed edge from G to H if and only if $(G, H) \in \rho$. If ρ is symmetric, it yields an undirected graph and otherwise a directed graph.

Harary [2] used a graph transformation called a *fundamental exchange* or an *edge exchange* as follows: Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 3$. The *tree graph*, $\mathbf{T}(G)$, of G is defined by specifying $V(\mathbf{T}(G))$ as the set of all spanning trees of G , and two vertices $T_1, T_2 \in V(\mathbf{T}(G))$ are adjacent in $\mathbf{T}(G)$ if and only if T_1 and T_2 have exactly $n - 2$ edges in common. This is an example of an undirected ρ -graph. It was proved by Harary [2] that the tree graph $\mathbf{T}(G)$ is connected.

A non-increasing sequence $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ of non-negative integers is a *graphic degree sequence* if it is a degree sequence of some graph G . In this case, G is called a *realization* of \mathbf{d} . A degree sequence of an r -regular graph of order n is denoted by r^n .

Let G be a graph. For the distinct vertices a, b, c , and d in $V(G)$ such that ab and cd are edges in G while ac and bd are not edges in G . Define $G^{\sigma(a,b,c,d)}$, simply written G^σ , to be the graph obtained from G by deleting the edges ab and cd and adding the edges ac and bd . The operation $\sigma(a,b,c,d)$ is called a *switching operation*. For a graphic degree sequence \mathbf{d} , let $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{d})$ and $\mathcal{CR}(\mathbf{d})$ be the sets of non-isomorphic realizations and connected realizations of \mathbf{d} , respectively. The $\Sigma(\mathbf{d})$ is defined as a relation on $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{d})$ as $(G, H) \in \Sigma(\mathbf{d})$ if $G \not\cong H$ and there is a switching σ on G such that $H = G^\sigma$. Thus the $\Sigma(\mathbf{d})$ -graph is simple. The concept of $\Sigma(\mathbf{d})$ -graph was introduced and developed in a joint paper by Eggleton and Holton [3]. It provides a structured way to examine all the graphs which “realize” a given degree sequence. The $\Sigma(\mathbf{d})$ -graph and the subgraph induced by $\mathcal{CR}(\mathbf{d})$ are connected as a consequence of Taylor [4, 5]. For positive integers m and n with $0 \leq m \leq \binom{n}{2}$, let $\mathcal{G}(m, n)$ and $\mathcal{CG}(m, n)$ be the sets of all non-isomorphic graphs and the set of connected graphs of order n and size m , respectively. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}(m, n)$ with $e \in E(G)$ and $f \notin E(G)$. Define $G^{t(e,f)}$ to be a graph with $V(G^{t(e,f)}) = V(G)$ and $E(G^{t(e,f)}) = E(G - e + f)$. A transformation $t(e, f)$ is called an *edge jump*. Now let $\mathcal{T}(m, n)$ be a relation on $\mathcal{G}(m, n)$ defined by $(G, H) \in \mathcal{T}(m, n)$ if $G \not\cong H$ and H can be obtained from G by an edge jump. Since $\mathcal{T}(m, n)$ is symmetric, it follows that the $\mathcal{T}(m, n)$ -graph is simple.

2. An intermediate value theorem

Let \mathcal{G} be the class of all graphs. A graph parameter π is said to satisfy an *intermediate value theorem over a class of graphs* \mathcal{J} if $G, H \in \mathcal{J}$ with $\pi(G) < \pi(H)$, then for every integer k with $\pi(G) \leq k \leq \pi(H)$ there is a graph $K \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $\pi(K) = k$. If a graph parameter π satisfies an intermediate value theorem over \mathcal{J} , then we write $(\pi, \mathcal{J}) \in \text{IVT}$. Thus if $(\pi, \mathcal{J}) \in \text{IVT}$, then $\{\pi(G) : G \in \mathcal{J}\}$ is uniquely determined by

$$\min(\pi, \mathcal{J}) := \min\{\pi(G) : G \in \mathcal{J}\} \text{ and } \max(\pi, \mathcal{J}) := \max\{\pi(G) : G \in \mathcal{J}\}.$$

In 1964, Erdős and Gallai [6] proved that any regular graph on n vertices has chromatic number $k \leq \frac{3n}{5}$ unless the graph is complete. Commenting on their result in a personal communication, Erdős wrote to Pullman “probably such a graph exists for every $k \leq \frac{3n}{5}$, except possibly for trivial exceptional cases.”

Caccetta and Pullman [7] confirmed and strengthened Erdős’ conjecture by showing that if $k > 1$, then for every $n \geq \frac{5k}{3}$, there exists a connected, regular, k -chromatic graph of order n . This is an example an intermediate value theorem of χ over the class of all connected regular graphs of order n .

2.1 The $\Sigma(\mathbf{d})$ -graphs

We will review in this subsection an intermediate value theorem on various graph parameters over $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{d})$ and $\mathcal{CR}(\mathbf{d})$. We first prove a general result as follows:

Theorem 2.1 *Let $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{d})$ and the subgraph of $\Sigma(\mathbf{d})$ -graph induced by \mathcal{J} be connected. Let π be a graph parameter. For any graph G of degree sequence \mathbf{d} and any switching σ , if $|\pi(G) - \pi(G^\sigma)| \leq 1$, then $(\pi, \mathcal{J}) \in \text{IVT}$.*

Proof. Let $H, K \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $\pi(H) = \min\{\pi(G) : G \in \mathcal{J}\}$ and $\pi(K) = \max\{\pi(G) : G \in \mathcal{J}\}$. Since the subgraph of $\Sigma(\mathbf{d})$ -graph induced by \mathcal{J} is connected, there exists a path $P : H = G_1, G_2, \dots, G_t = K$ in \mathcal{J} . Thus there exists a sequence $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{t-1}$ such that $G_{i+1} = G_i^{\sigma_i}$. Since $|\pi(G_i) - \pi(G_{i+1})| = |\pi(G_i) - \pi(G_i^{\sigma_i})| \leq 1$, it follows that $\{\pi(G_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, t\} = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : \pi(H) \leq k \leq \pi(K)\}$. Thus $(\pi, \mathcal{J}) \in \text{IVT}$.

The following result can be obtained as consequences of Taylor [4, 5].

Corollary 2.2 *Let π be a graph parameter. For a graph G of degree sequence \mathbf{d} and a switching σ , if $|\pi(G) - \pi(G^\sigma)| \leq 1$, then $(\pi, \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{d})) \in \text{IVT}$ and $(\pi, \mathcal{CR}(\mathbf{d})) \in \text{IVT}$.*

We will now review an intermediate value theorem on several graph parameters over $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{d})$ and $\mathcal{CR}(\mathbf{d})$. Here we use $\omega(G)$ and $\alpha(G)$ for the clique number and independent number of a graph G , respectively.

We proved in [8] and [9] the following result.

Theorem 2.3 *Let G be a graph and σ be a switching on G . If $\pi \in \{\chi, \omega\}$, then $|\pi(G) - \pi(G^\sigma)| \leq 1$.*

Note that $\alpha(G) = \omega(\overline{G})$ for any graph G and $\overline{G}^{\sigma(a,b,c,d)} = \overline{G^{\sigma(a,b,c,d)}}$. Thus we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4 *Let G be a graph and σ be a switching on G . Then $|\alpha(G) - \alpha(G^\sigma)| \leq 1$.*

For the matching number $\alpha'(G)$ of a graph G we obtained in [10] the following result.

Theorem 2.5 *If σ is a switching on G , then $|\alpha'(G) - \alpha'(G^\sigma)| \leq 1$.*

The following results were obtained by Gallai [11] showing a relationship between the independence and covering number. Here we use $\beta(G)$ and $\beta'(G)$ for the covering and edge covering number of a graph G , respectively.

Theorem 2.6 *For a graph G of order n , $\alpha(G) + \beta(G) = n$.*

Theorem 2.7 *For a graph G of order n and $\delta \geq 1$. $\alpha'(G) + \beta'(G) = n$.*

As a consequence we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.8 *Let G be a graph, $\delta(G) \geq 1$ and σ be a switching on G . If $\pi \in \{\beta, \beta'\}$, then $|\pi(G) - \pi(G^\sigma)| \leq 1$.*

Let G be a graph and $F \subseteq V(G)$. Then F is called an *induced forest* of G if $G[F]$ contains no cycle. For a graph G , we define, $f(G)$ as:

$$f(G) := \max\{|F| : F \text{ is an induced forest in } G\}.$$

The graph parameter f is called the *forest number*. The problem of determining the minimum number of vertices whose removal eliminates all cycles in a graph G is known as the *decycling number* of G , and is denoted by $\phi(G)$: Thus for a graph G of order n , $\phi(G) + f(G) = n$. We proved in [12] the following results on f and ϕ .

Theorem 2.9 *If S is any subset of vertices of G such that $G[S]$ is a forest, and σ is any switching on G , then $G^\sigma[S]$ contains at most one cycle.*

Proof. Let $S \subseteq V(G)$ and $G[S]$ contains no cycle. Let $a, b, c, d \in V(G)$ with $ab, cd \in E(G)$ and $ac, bd \notin E(G)$. Since $G[S]$ contains no cycle, it follows that $G[S] + ac$ and $G[S] + bd$ contains at most one cycle. Thus if $|S \cap \{a, b, c, d\}| \leq 3$, then $G^\sigma[S]$ contains at most one cycle. Now suppose that $\{a, b, c, d\} \subseteq S$. Since $G[S]$ is a forest, for any two vertices $u, v \in S$ there is

at most one (u, v) -path in $G[S]$. In particular, if there is an (a, c) -path in $G[S]$, then there is no (b, d) -path in $G[S]$. Thus $G^\sigma[S]$ contains at most one cycle, where $\sigma = \sigma(a, b; c, d)$.

The following corollary can be obtained as a consequence of above theorem.

Corollary 2.10 *Let G be a graph and σ be a switching on G . If $\pi \in \{f, \phi\}$, then $|\pi(G) - \pi(G^\sigma)| \leq 1$.*

A *dominating set* of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a subset D of V such that each vertex of $V - D$ is adjacent to at least one vertex of D . The *domination number* $\gamma(G)$ of a graph G is the cardinality of a minimal dominating set with the least number of elements. We proved in [13] the following results.

Theorem 2.11 *If G is a graph with $\gamma(G) = \gamma$ and σ is a switching on G , then $\gamma(G^\sigma) \leq \gamma + 1$.*

Proof. Let D be a minimum dominating set of G . Let $a, b, c, d \in V(G)$ with $ab, cd \in E(G)$ and $ac, bd \notin E(G)$. Put $\sigma = \sigma(a, b; c, d)$. If $\{a, b, c, d\} \cap D = \emptyset$ or $\{a, b, c, d\} \subseteq D$, then D is a dominating set of G^σ . If $a, b \in D$ or $c, d \in D$, then D is a dominating set of G^σ . Finally if $a \in D$ or $c \in D$, then $D \cup \{b\}$ or $D \cup \{d\}$ is a respective dominating set of G^σ . Thus $\gamma(G^\sigma) \leq \gamma + 1$.

By the fact that a switching is symmetric we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.12 *If σ is a switching on G , then $|\gamma(G) - \gamma(G^\sigma)| \leq 1$.*

Combining the results in this subsection we can conclude the following theorem.

Theorem 2.13 *Let $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$, $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n \geq 1$ be a graphic degree sequence. Then $(\pi, \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{d})) \in \text{IVT}$ and $(\pi, \mathcal{CR}(\mathbf{d})) \in \text{IVT}$, where $\pi \in \{\chi, \omega, f, \phi, \alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma\}$.*

2.2 The $T(m, n)$ -graphs

We recently proved in [14] that the $\mathcal{T}(m, n)$ -graph and the subgraph of the $\mathcal{T}(m, n)$ -graph induced by $\mathcal{CG}(m, n)$ are connected. We also obtained in the same paper the following results.

Theorem 2.14 *Let $\pi \in \{\chi, \omega, f, \phi, \alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma\}$ Then for any $G \in \mathcal{G}(m, n)$ and an edge jump $t(e, f)$ on G , $|\pi(G) - \pi(G^{t(e,f)})| \leq 1$.*

Theorem 2.15 *Let $\pi \in \{\chi, \omega, f, \phi, \alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma\}$ and $\mathcal{J} \in \{G(m, n), \mathcal{CG}(m, n)\}$. Then $(\pi, \mathcal{J}) \in \text{IVT}$.*

3. The extremal problems

An *extremal problem* asks for minimum and maximum values of a function $\pi : \mathcal{J} \Rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. In our context we consider the problem of determining $\min(\pi, \mathcal{J})$ and $\max(\pi, \mathcal{J})$, where π is a graph parameter and \mathcal{J} is a class of graphs. We emphasize on the graph parameters as stated in Section 2 and the classes of graphs $\mathcal{J} \in \{\mathcal{R}(r^n), \mathcal{CR}(r^n), \mathcal{G}(m, n), \mathcal{CG}(m, n)\}$. Therefore we use the following notation.

$$\begin{aligned} \min(\pi, r^n) &= \min\{\pi(G) : G \in \mathcal{R}(r^n)\}, \\ \max(\pi, r^n) &= \max\{\pi(G) : G \in \mathcal{R}(r^n)\}, \\ \text{Min}(\pi, r^n) &= \min\{\pi(G) : G \in \mathcal{CR}(r^n)\}, \\ \text{Max}(\pi, r^n) &= \max\{\pi(G) : G \in \mathcal{CR}(r^n)\}, \\ \min(\pi; m, n) &= \min\{\pi(G) : G \in \mathcal{G}(m, n)\}, \\ \max(\pi; m, n) &= \max\{\pi(G) : G \in \mathcal{G}(m, n)\}, \\ \text{Min}(\pi; m, n) &= \min\{\pi(G) : G \in \mathcal{CG}(m, n)\}, \text{ and} \\ \text{Max}(\pi; m, n) &= \max\{\pi(G) : G \in \mathcal{CG}(m, n)\}. \end{aligned}$$

3.1 $\mathcal{R}(r^n)$ and $\mathcal{CR}(r^n)$

A classical result of Erdős and Gallai [6] gives a motivation to the extremal problem.

Theorem 3.1 *An r -regular graph G of order $n > r + 1$ has chromatic number $k \leq \frac{3n}{5}$, with equality if and only if the complementary graph \overline{G} of G is the union of disjoint 5-cycles.*

We obtained in [8] the extremal values of χ .

Theorem 3.2 *If $r \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2r$, then*

$$\min(\chi, r^n) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 3 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.3 *If $r \geq 2$, then*

1. $\min(\chi, r^{r+1}) = \max(\chi, r^{r+1}) = r + 1$, and
2. $\min(\chi, r^{r+2}) = \max(\chi, r^{r+2}) = (r + 2)/2$.

Theorem 3.4 *For any $r \geq 4$ and odd integers such that $3 \leq s \leq r$, let q and t be integers satisfying $r + s = sq + t$, $0 \leq t < s$. Then*

$$\min(\chi, r^{r+s}) = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } t = 0, \\ q + 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq t \leq s - 2, \\ q + 2 & \text{if } t = s - 1. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.5 For any even integer $r \geq 6$ and any even number s such that $4 \leq s \leq r$, let q and t be integers satisfying $r + s = sq + t$, $0 \leq t < s$. Then

$$\min(\chi, r^{r+s}) = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } t = 0, \\ q + 1 & \text{if } t \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

By using Brooks' theorem [15] and some graph construction we obtained the following theorems in [8].

Theorem 3.6 Let $r \geq 2$. Then

1. $\max(\chi, r^{2r}) = r$,
2. $\max(\chi, r^{2r+1}) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } r = 2, \\ r & \text{if } r \geq 4, \end{cases}$
3. $\max(\chi, r^n) = r + 1$ for $n \geq 2r + 2$.

Theorem 3.7 For any r and s such that $3 \leq s \leq r - 1$, we have

1. $\max(\chi, r^{r+s}) \geq (r + s)/2$ if $r + s$ is even, and
2. $\max(\chi, r^{r+s}) \geq (r + s - 1)/2$ if $r + s$ is odd.

The exact values of $\max(\chi, r^n)$ are not easy to obtain if $r + 3 \leq n \leq 2r - 1$. Result of Theorem 3.1 gives an upper bound for χ in the class of connected regular graphs of order n but the bound can be very far from the actual value depending on the regularity. We were able to improve the bound in [16] by introducing the notion of $F(j)$ -graph.

Let j be a positive integer. An $F(j)$ -graph is a $(j - 1)$ -regular graph G of minimum order $f(j)$ with the property that $\chi(\overline{G}) > f(j)/2$. It is easy to see that $F(3)$ -graph is C_5 and $f(3) = 5$. We found $F(j)$ -graphs for all odd integers j as stated in the following theorems.

Theorem 3.8 For odd integer $j \geq 3$, we have $f(j) = \frac{5}{2}(j - 1)$ if $j \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $f(j) = 1 + \frac{5}{2}(j - 1)$ if $j \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Theorem 3.9 [16] Any r -regular graph of order n with $n - r = j$ odd and $j \geq 3$ has chromatic number at most $\frac{f(j) + 1}{2f(j)} \cdot n$, and this bound is achieved precisely for those graphs with complement equal to a disjoint union of $F(j)$ -graphs.

Problem 1. Find an $F(j)$ -graph for even integer $j \geq 4$.

Problem 2. Find $\max(\chi, r^{r+j})$ if j is even and $4 \leq j \leq r - 2$.

The extremal problem for ω has been completely answered in [9]. Since K_{r+1} is the only r -regular graph of order $r + 1$, it follows that $\min(\omega, r^{r+1}) = r + 1$. Given positive integers n and k with $k \leq n$, there exists a connected graph G of order n with $\omega(G) = k$. As we shall see in the next theorem that there is no regular graph G of order n having $\omega(G)$ strictly lies between $\frac{n}{2}$ and n .

Theorem 3.10 Let $\mathbf{d} = r^n$ be a graphic degree sequence with $r+2 \leq n \leq 2r+1$. Then $\max(\omega, r^n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

The idea of obtaining $\min(\omega, r^n)$ is similar to what we have done for $\min(\chi, r^n)$ and we have $\min(\omega, r^n) = \min(\chi, r^n)$ in all situations.

Problem 3. We have obtained $\min(\omega, r^n)$ and $\max(\omega, r^n)$ in all situations. It is interesting to find $\text{Min}(\omega, r^n)$ and $\text{Max}(\omega, r^n)$.

Problem 4. By using the relation $\alpha(G) = \omega(\overline{G})$, can we obtain $\min(\alpha, r^n)$, $\max(\alpha, r^n)$, $\text{Min}(\alpha, r^n)$ and $\text{Max}(\alpha, r^n)$?

For the graph parameter f , we found in [17] a lower bound of $\min(f, \mathbf{d})$ by using the *probabilistic method*. In particular, we proved the following theorem.

Theorem 3.11 Let G be a graph having degree sequence $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$, $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n \geq 1$. Then

$$f(G) \geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{d_i + 1}.$$

The value of $\min(f, r^n)$ is not easy to obtain if we work on r -regular graphs. It is reasonable to extend the class of r -regular graphs of order n to a larger class $\mathcal{G}_\Delta(n)$. Let n and Δ be positive integers with $n > \Delta$. Let $\mathcal{G}_\Delta(n)$ be the class of all graphs G of order n and $\Delta(G) = \Delta$. Let $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ be a sequence of non-negative integers. Define $\overline{\mathbf{d}}$ a degree sequence $(\overline{d}_1, \overline{d}_2, \dots, \overline{d}_n)$, where $\overline{d}_i = n - d_i - 1$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. It is clear that \mathbf{d} is graphic if and only if $\overline{\mathbf{d}}$ is. We proved in [17] the following results.

Theorem 3.12 Let $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$, $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n \geq 1$ be a graphic degree sequence and $d_1 + 1 \leq n \leq 2d_1 + 1$. Then

1. $\min(f, \mathbf{d}) = 2$ if and only if $d_1 = d_2 = d_3 = \dots = d_n$ and $n = d_1 + 1$ and
2. if \mathbf{d} does not have a complete graph as its realization, then $\min(f, \mathbf{d}) = 3$ if and only if $\bar{\mathbf{d}}$ has a disjoint union of stars as its realization.

Theorem 3.13 Let $n = (\Delta + 1)q + t$, $0 \leq t \leq \Delta$. Then

1. $\min(f, \mathcal{G}_\Delta(n)) = 2q$, if $t = 0$,
2. $\min(f, \mathcal{G}_\Delta(n)) = 2q + 1$, if $t = 1$, and
3. $\min(f, \mathcal{G}_\Delta(n)) = 2q + 2$, if $2 \leq t \leq \Delta$.

With some modification of Theorem 3.13 in the class of r -regular graphs of order n and some properties of $F(j)$ -graph, we found $\min(f, r^n)$ in all situations as stated in the following theorems in [18].

Theorem 3.14 For $r \geq 3$, and $n = r + j$, $1 \leq j \leq r + 1$

1. $\min(f, r^n) = 2$, if and only if $n = r + 1$,
 2. $\min(f, r^n) = 3$, if and only if $n = r + 2$,
 3. $\min(f, r^n) = 4$, for all even integers n , $r + 3 \leq n$,
 4. $\min(f, r^n) = 4$, for all odd integers n , $r + 3 \leq n$ and $n \geq f(j)$,
 5. $\min(f, r^n) = 5$, for all odd integers n , $r + 3 \leq n$ and $n < f(j)$,
- where $f(j) = \frac{5}{2}(j-1)$ if $j \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, and $f(j) = 1 + \frac{5}{2}(j-1)$ if $j \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Theorem 3.15 For $n \geq 2r + 2$ and $r \geq 3$, write $n = (r + 1)q + t$, $q \geq 2$ and $0 \leq t \leq r$. Then

1. $\min(f, r^n) = 2q$ if $t = 0$,
2. $\min(f, r^n) = 2q + 1$ if $t = 1$,
3. $\min(f, r^n) = 2q + 2$ if $2 \leq t \leq r - 1$,
4. $\min(f, r^n) = 2q + 3$ if $t = r$.

We obtained in [12] the values of $\max(f, r^n)$, for all r and n as stated in the following theorems.

Theorem 3.16

$$\max(f, r^n) = \begin{cases} n - r + 1 & \text{if } r + 1 \leq n \leq 2r - 1, \\ \lfloor \frac{nr - 2}{2(r-1)} \rfloor & \text{if } n \geq 2r. \end{cases}$$

Note that if $r \geq 2$, then $\max(f, r^n) = \text{Max}(f, r^n)$. The investigation of $\text{Min}(f, r^n)$ was considered in [19] and we settled almost all cases as stated in the following results.

Theorem 3.17 *Let n be an even integer $n \geq 12$. Then*

$$\text{Min}(f, 3^n) = \begin{cases} \frac{5}{8}n - \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{8}, \\ \lfloor \frac{5}{8}n \rfloor & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.18 *Let n and r be integers with $r \geq 4$. Then*

$$\text{Min}(f, r^n) \geq \lceil \frac{2n}{r} \rceil.$$

Let $n = rq + t$, $0 \leq t \leq r - 1$, $r \geq 4$. Then $\text{Min}(f, r^n) \geq 2q + \lceil \frac{2t}{r} \rceil$. By construction we have the following results.

$$\text{Min}(f, r^n) = \begin{cases} 2q & \text{if } t = 0, \\ 2q + 1 & \text{if } t = 1, 2, \\ 2q + 2 & \text{if } t > \frac{r}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Problem 5. Find $\text{Min}(f, r^n)$ if $3 \leq t \leq \frac{r}{2}$.

Let $\mathcal{B}(r^{2n})$ be the class of r -regular bipartite graphs of order $2n$. It was shown in [20], page 53 that the subgraph of the $\Sigma(r^{2n})$ -graph induced by $\mathcal{B}(r^{2n})$ is connected. Therefore $(f, \mathcal{B}(r^{2n})) \in \text{IVT}$. We write $\min(f, \mathcal{B}(r^{2n}))$ for $\min\{f(G) : G \in \mathcal{B}(r^{2n})\}$ and $\max(f, \mathcal{B}(r^{2n}))$ for $\max\{f(G) : G \in \mathcal{B}(r^{2n})\}$. Thus $f(\mathcal{B}(r^{2n}))$ is uniquely determined by $\min(f, \mathcal{B}(r^{2n}))$, and $\max(f, \mathcal{B}(r^{2n}))$. Evidently, $\min(f, \mathcal{B}(r^{2n})) = \max(f, \mathcal{B}(r^{2n})) = 2n$ if $r \in \{0, 1\}$, $\max(f, \mathcal{B}(2^{2n})) = 2n - 1$ and $\min(f, \mathcal{B}(2^{2n})) = \lceil \frac{3n}{2} \rceil$. We proved in [21] the following theorems.

Theorem 3.19 If $r \geq 2$, then $\max(f, \mathcal{B}(r^{2n})) = \max(f, r^{2n}) = \lfloor \frac{nr-1}{r-1} \rfloor$.

Theorem 3.20 $\min(f, \mathcal{B}(3^{2n})) = n + \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil$.

Theorem 3.21 $\min(f, \mathcal{B}(4^{2n})) = n + \lceil \frac{n}{7} \rceil$.

The problem of determining $\min(f, \mathcal{B}(r^{2n}))$ is not easy if $r \geq 5$.

Problem 6. Find $\min(f, \mathcal{B}(r^{2n}))$ if $r \geq 5$.

Problem 7. Let $\mathcal{CB}(r^{2n})$ be the class of connected r -regular bipartite graphs of order 2^n and $r \geq 2$. It is clear that $\max(f, \mathcal{CB}(r^{2n})) = \max(f, \mathcal{B}(r^{2n}))$. Find $\min(f, \mathcal{CB}(r^{2n}))$.

Problem 8. The hypercube Q_n is a connected n -regular bipartite graph of order 2^n . The exact values of $f(Q_n)$ have been obtained when n is a power of 2. Details can be found in [22]. Find $f(Q_n)$ for other values of n .

In [10], we determined the values of $\min(\alpha', r^n)$ and $\max(\alpha', r^n)$ for all r and n . Since $\min(\alpha', 0^n) = \max(\alpha', 0^n) = 0$ and $\min(\alpha', 1^{2n}) = \max(\alpha', 1^{2n}) = n$, we can assume that $r \geq 2$ and $n \geq r + 1$.

An existence of an r -regular Hamiltonian graph of order n implies that $\max(\alpha', r^n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. A component of a graph is *odd* or *even* according as it has odd or even number of vertices. We denote by $o(G)$ the number of odd components of G . Tutte [23] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 3.22 *The number of edges in a maximum matching of a graph G is $\frac{1}{2}(|V(G)|-d)$, where $d = \max_{S \subseteq V(G)} \{o(G - S) - |S|\}$.*

Let $F(r, d)$ be the minimum order of an r -regular graph G with $\alpha'(G) = \frac{1}{2}(|V(G)|-d)$. It is clear that $|V(G)| \equiv d \pmod{2}$. Wallis [24] found $F(r, 2)$ for all $r \geq 3$. More precisely, he proved the following theorem.

Theorem 3.23 *Let G be an r -regular graph with no 1-factor and no odd component. Then*

$$|V(G)| \geq \begin{cases} 3r + 7 & \text{if } r \text{ is odd, } r \geq 3, \\ 3r + 4 & \text{if } r \text{ is even, } r \geq 6, \\ 22 & \text{if } r = 4. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, no such graphs exist for $r = 1$ or 2 .

If G is an r -regular graph with $\alpha'(G) = \frac{1}{2}(|V(G)|-d)$, then there exists a k -subset K of $V(G)$ such that $o(G - K) = k + d$. If $k = 0$, then r is even, G contains d odd components, and each component of G has order at least $r + 1$. Suppose that $k \geq 1$ and $G - K$ has an odd component with p vertices where $p \leq r$. Thus the number of edges within the component is at most $\frac{1}{2}p(p - 1)$. This means that the sum of degrees of these p vertices in $G - K$ is at most $p(p - 1)$. But G is an r -regular graph, so the sum of degrees of these p vertices in G is pr . Hence the number of edges joining K to the component must be at least $pr - p(p - 1)$. For a fixed integer r and an integer p satisfying $1 \leq p \leq r$, the function $f(p) = pr - p(p - 1)$, $1 \leq p \leq r$ has minimum value $f(1) = f(r) = r$. So any odd component with r or less vertices is joined to K by r or more edges. Suppose that there are o_+ odd components of $G - K$ with more than r vertices and o_- odd components with less than or equal to r vertices. Thus

$$o_+ + o_- = k + d \tag{1}$$

$$o_+ + ro_- \leq kr. \tag{2}$$

From these 2 relations, we have $o_+ \geq \lceil \frac{rd}{r-1} \rceil = d + \lceil \frac{d}{r-1} \rceil$ and $k \geq \lceil \frac{d}{r-1} \rceil$.

We obtained the following results in [10].

Theorem 3.24 *Let r be an even integer, $r \geq 2$. Then $F(r, d) = d(r + 1)$.*

Corollary 3.25 *Let r be an even integer, $r \geq 2$. If $n = (r + 1)d + e$, $0 \leq e \leq r$, then $\min(\alpha', r^n) = \frac{dr}{2} + \lfloor \frac{1+e}{2} \rfloor$.*

Suppose that r is odd and $r \geq 3$. Let G be an r -regular graph of order n such that $\alpha'(G) = \frac{1}{2}(n - d)$. Then d must be even. Put $d = 2q$. There exists a nonempty subset K of $V(G)$ of cardinality k such that $o(G - K) = k + 2q$. By (1) and (2), we have

$$n \geq k + (r + 2)o_+ \geq \lceil \frac{2q}{r-1} \rceil + (r + 2) + 2q + \lceil \frac{2q}{r-1} \rceil = \lceil \frac{2q}{r-1} \rceil (r + 3) + 2q(r + 2).$$

Wallis [24] defined $G(x, y)$ to be a graph with $x + y$ vertices, x and y being of degree $x + y - 3$ and $x + y - 2$, respectively. Thus $G(x, y)$ exists if and only if y is even and $y \geq 2$. It is noted that for any graph $G(x, y)$, it has y vertices of degree r and x vertices of degree $r - 1$. Let $x_i, y_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, be integers such that $G(x_i, y_i)$ exists for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. We then construct a graph

$$G(x_1, y_1) * G(x_2, y_2) * \dots * G(x_m, y_m)$$

from disjoint copies of the graphs by inserting a new vertex, say u , by joining u to all vertices of $G(x_i, y_i)$ which have the smallest degree, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. With this notion we see that for an odd integer $r \geq 3$, $q = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{r-1}{2}$ and for any odd positive integers a_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, 1 + 2q$ whose sum is r , it follows that

$$G_q = G(a_1, r + 2 - a_1) * G(a_2, r + 2 - a_2) * \dots * G(a_{1+2q}, r + 2 - a_{1+2q})$$

is an r -regular graph on $(r + 2)(1 + 2q) + 1$ vertices with $\alpha'(G_q) = \frac{1}{2} (|V(G_q)| - 2q)$. We have the following results.

Theorem 3.26 For an odd integer $r \geq 3$, then

1. $F(r, 2q) = (r + 2)(1 + 2q) + 1$, for $q = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{r-1}{2}$,
2. if $q = \frac{r-1}{2}s + t, 0 \leq t < \frac{r-1}{2}$, then $F(r, 2q) = sF(r, r-1) + F(r, 2t)$, where $F(r, 0) = 0$.

Corollary 3.27 Let r be an odd integer, $r \geq 3$. If $F(r, 2q) \leq n < F(r, 2(q+1))$, then $\min(\alpha', r^n) = \frac{1}{2}(n - 2q)$.

Problem 9. It is clear that $(\alpha', \mathcal{CR}(r^n)) \in \text{IVT}$ and it is easy to see that $\text{Max}(\alpha', r^n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Find $\text{Min}(\alpha', r^n)$.

3.2 $\mathcal{G}(m, n)$ and $\mathcal{CG}(m, n)$

We will discuss in this subsection the extremal problem for graph parameters over $\mathcal{G}(m, n)$ and $\mathcal{CG}(m, n)$.

Mantel's theorem [25] provides the maximum number of edges that a 2-chromatic graph of order n can have. On the other hand the minimum number of edges in a 2-chromatic graph of order $n \geq 2$ is 1 and the minimum number of edges in a 2-chromatic connected graph of order $n \geq 2$ is $n - 1$. Turán [26] extended the result of Mantel by introducing the *Turán graph*. This result of Turán is viewed as the origin of extremal graph theory. The *Turán graph* $T_{n,r}$ is the complete r -partite graph of order n whose partite sets differ in cardinality by at most 1.

Theorem 3.28 Among the graphs of order n containing no complete subgraph of order $r + 1$, $T_{n,r}$ has the maximum number of edges.

In order to apply Turán's theorem in our context, we would like to state the following facts.

1. If $n = rq + t$, $0 \leq t < r$, then $T_{n,r}$ consists of t partite sets of cardinality $\lfloor \frac{n}{r} \rfloor$ and $r-t$ partite sets of cardinality $\lfloor \frac{n}{r} \rfloor$.

2. Let $G \in G(m, n)$. If $\omega(G) \leq r$, then $m \leq \varepsilon(T_{n,r})$.

3. $\varepsilon(T_{n,r}) = \binom{n-a}{2} + (r-1)\binom{a+1}{2}$, where $a = \lfloor \frac{n}{r} \rfloor$.

4. Let $t(n, r) = \varepsilon(T_{n,r})$. Then for a fixed n , we get $t(n, r-1) < t(n, r)$ for all r , $2 \leq r \leq n$. In fact $t(n, r) - t(n, r-1) \geq \binom{a+1}{2}$, where $a = \lfloor \frac{n}{r} \rfloor$.

We obtained in [14] the following theorems.

Theorem 3.29 Let m , n and k be positive integers with $n \geq k \geq 3$ and $\binom{k}{2} \leq m < \binom{k+1}{2}$. Then $\max(\chi; m, n) = k$.

Theorem 3.30 Let m , n and $k \geq 2$ be positive integers satisfying $t(n, k-1) < m \leq t(n, k)$. Then $\min(\chi; m, n) = k$.

We now conclude the following corollary.

Corollary 3.31 Let m , n and k be positive integers.

1. If $n \geq k$ and $\binom{k}{2} \leq m < \binom{k+1}{2}$, then $\max(\omega; m, n) = k$.

2. If $t(n, k-1) < m \leq t(n, k)$, then $\min(\omega; m, n) = k$.

3. If $t(n, k-1) < m \leq t(n, k)$, then $\text{Min}(\chi; m, n) = k$.

4. If $k \geq 3$ and $t(n, k-1) < m \leq t(n, k)$, then $\text{Min}(\omega; m, n) = k$.

Results on $\text{Max}(\chi; m, n)$ and $\text{Max}(\omega; m, n)$ can be obtained similarly as stated in the following theorems.

Theorem 3.32 Let n , m and k be positive integers with $n \geq k \geq 3$ and $\binom{k}{2} + n - k \leq m < \binom{k+1}{2} + n - k - 1$. Then $\text{Max}(\chi; m, n) = k$.

Theorem 3.33 *Let n, m and k be positive integers with $n \geq k \geq 3$ and $\binom{k}{2} + n - k \leq m < \binom{k+1}{2} + n - k - 1$. Then $\text{Max}(\omega; m, n) = k$.*

Thus all extreme values of χ and ω over $\mathcal{G}(m, n)$ and $\mathcal{CG}(m, n)$ are obtained in all situations.

The extremal values of the graph parameter f over $\mathcal{G}(m, n)$ and $\mathcal{CG}(m, n)$ were obtained in [27].

Let G be a graph and X, Y be disjoint nonempty subsets of $V(G)$. Denote by $\varepsilon(X)$ the number of edges in $G[X]$ and $\varepsilon(X, Y)$ the number of edges in G connecting vertices in X to vertices in Y .

Let $G \in \mathcal{G}(m, n)$ and F be a maximum induced forest of G . Let $|F| = a$. Therefore $G - F$ has order $n - a$. An upper bound for m can be obtained by the following inequality.

$$m = \varepsilon(G - F) + \varepsilon(G - F, F) + \varepsilon(F) \leq \binom{n-a}{2} + a(n-a) + (a-1).$$

Let $a = n - i$ for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-2\}$. Then $m \leq (i+1)n - \frac{i^2+3i+2}{2}$.

For an integer $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$, let

$$\mathbf{M}_n(n-i) := (i+1)n - \frac{i^2+3i+2}{2}$$

It is clear that $\mathbf{M}_n(n-i)$ is an integer. We showed in [27] that $\max(f; m, n) = n-i$ if and only if $\mathbf{M}_n(n-i+1) < m \leq \mathbf{M}_n(n-i)$ by constructing a graph $G \in \mathcal{G}(m, n)$ with $\mathbf{M}_n(n-i+1) < m \leq \mathbf{M}_n(n-i)$ and $f(G) = n-i$. Furthermore the graph G is connected. Therefore we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.34 *Let n and m be integers satisfying $0 < m \leq \binom{n}{2}$. The $\max(f; m, n) = n-i$ if and only if $\mathbf{M}_n(n-i+1) < m \leq \mathbf{M}_n(n-i)$ and $\text{Max}(f; m, n) = n-i$ if and only if $m \geq n-1$ and $\mathbf{M}_n(n-i+1) < m \leq \mathbf{M}_n(n-i)$.*

In order to obtain the values of $\min(f; m, n)$, we first find the minimum number of edges of a graph of order n having the forest number a . Let $\mathcal{G}(n; f = a)$ be the set of graphs of order n having the forest number a . It is clear that $\mathcal{G}(n; f = a) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $2 \leq a \leq n$. For integers n and a , let

$$\mathbf{m}_n(a) := \min\{\varepsilon(G) : G \in \mathcal{G}(n; f = a)\}:$$

Thus $\mathbf{m}_n(n) = 0$, $\mathbf{m}_n(n-1) = 3$ and $\mathbf{m}_n(2) = \binom{n}{2}$. It is easy to see that for a graph G of order $n \geq 2$, $f(G) = 2$ if and only if $G \cong K_n$. We now find $\mathbf{m}_n(a)$ for $2 < a < n$. Theorem 3.12 gives a characterization of graphs having forest number 3. Thus $\mathbf{m}_n(3) = \binom{n}{2} - n + 1$, for all $n \geq 4$. We proved in [27] the following lemma.

Lemma 3.35 *If G is a graph of order n with $\Delta(G) = \Delta$ and $f(G) = 2q + 1$ for some integer q , then $n \leq (\Delta + 1)q + 1$.*

By Lemma 3.35, we have a lower bound for the maximum degree of a given graph in terms of its order and its forest number. In other words, if G is a graph of order n , then $\Delta(G) \geq \lceil \frac{2n}{f(G)} \rceil - 1$. In particular, if $f(G) = 2q$ for some integer q , then $\Delta(G) \geq \lceil \frac{n}{q} \rceil - 1$. By Lemma 3.35 the lower bound for $\Delta(G)$ can be improved if $f(G)$ is odd. That is, if $f(G) = 2q + 1$ for some integer q , then $n \leq (\Delta(G) + 1)q + 1$ which is equivalent to $\Delta(G) \geq \lceil \frac{n-1}{q} \rceil - 1$. We have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.36 *Let G be a graph of order n and q be a positive integer. If $f(G) = 2q$, then $\Delta(G) \geq \lceil \frac{n}{q} \rceil - 1$, and if $f(G) = 2q + 1$, then $\Delta(G) \geq \lceil \frac{n-1}{q} \rceil - 1$.*

Let $\mathcal{G}^*(n; f = a) = \{G \in \mathcal{G}(n; f = a) : G \text{ is a union of } \lceil \frac{a}{2} \rceil \text{ cliques}\}$. It is clear that $\mathcal{G}^*(n; f = a) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(n; f = a)$. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.37 *Let G be a graph of order n with $f(G) = a$. Then there exists a graph $H \in \mathcal{G}^*(n; f = a)$ such that $\varepsilon(H) \leq \varepsilon(G)$.*

By Theorem 3.37, we know the structure of graphs of order n with prescribed the forest number. In general, for a graph $G \in \mathcal{G}(n; f = a)$, there may be many such graphs $H \in \mathcal{G}^*(n; f = a)$. We now seek for such a graph H with minimum number of edges.

By using the results of Mantel [25] and Turán [26] as mentioned in the previous subsection, we have the following results.

1. Let $G = p_1K_1 \cup p_3K_3 \cup p_4K_4 \cup \dots \cup p_kK_k$. Then the order of G is $p_1 + 3p_3 + 4p_4 + \dots + kp_k$ and $f(G) = p_1 + 2(p_3 + p_4 + \dots + p_k)$. Suppose that $p_1 \geq 2$, $p_k \geq 1$ and $k \geq 4$. Then, by replacing $2K_1 \cup K_k$ by $K_3 \cup K_{k-1}$ we obtain a graph H with $\varepsilon(H) \leq \varepsilon(G)$. Further, $\varepsilon(H) = \varepsilon(G)$ if and only if $k = 4$.

2. $\mathbf{m}_n(n - 1) = 3$ if $n \geq 4$. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}(n; f = n - 1)$. Then $\varepsilon(G) = 3$ if and only if $n \geq 4$ and $G = (n - 3)K_1 \cup K_3$.

3. Let a be an integer with $\frac{2n}{3} \leq a \leq n - 1$. If (p, q) is the solution of $p + 3q = n$ and $p + 2q = a$, then $G = pK_1 \cup qK_3$ satisfies $f(G) = a$.

4. Let a be an integer with $\frac{2n}{3} \leq a \leq n - 2$. and $G \in \mathcal{G}(n; f = a)$ such that $\varepsilon(G) = \mathbf{m}_n(a)$. Then by Theorem 3.37, we can choose $G = p_1K_1 \cup p_3K_3 \cup p_4K_4 \cup \dots \cup p_kK_k \in \mathcal{G}^*(n; f = a)$ and $k \leq 4$. If $k = 4$, then $p_1 \geq 2$. Thus, there exists a graph $H = p_1K_1 \cup pK_3$ such that $p + 3q = n$, $p + 2q = a$ and $\varepsilon(H) = \varepsilon(G) = \mathbf{m}_n(a)$.

5. Let a be an integer with $a < \frac{2n}{3}$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}(n; f = a)$ and $\Delta(G) \geq 3$. Thus if $G = p_1K_1 \cup pK_3 \cup p_4K_4 \cup \dots \cup p_kK_k$ $f(G) = a < \frac{2n}{3}$ and $\varepsilon(G) = \mathbf{m}_n(a)$, then $p_1 \leq 1$ and $k \geq 4$.

6. If $n = rq + t$, $0 \leq t < r$, then $T_{n,r}$ consists of t partite sets of cardinality $\lceil \frac{n}{r} \rceil$ and $r-t$ partite sets of cardinality $\lfloor \frac{n}{r} \rfloor$.

7. $\varepsilon(T_{n,r}) = \binom{n-a}{2} + (r-1) \binom{a+1}{2}$, where $a = \lfloor \frac{n}{r} \rfloor$.

8. Let $t(n; r) = \varepsilon(T_{n,r})$. Then for a fixed n , by using elementary arithmetic, we get $t(n, r-1) < t(n, r)$ for all r , $2 \leq r \leq n$. In fact $t(n, r) - t(n, r-1) \geq \binom{a+1}{2}$, where $a = \lfloor \frac{n}{r} \rfloor$.

Let $\bar{t}(n, r) = \binom{n}{2} - \varepsilon(T_{n,r})$. Summarizing the results, we have the following theorems.

Theorem 3.38 *Let n and a be integers with $2 \leq a \leq n-1$. Then*

1. $\mathbf{m}_n(n) = 0$,
2. $\mathbf{m}_n(n-1) = 3$ if $n \geq 3$ and $G = (n-3)K_1 \cup K_3$ is the only graph of order n satisfying $f(G) = n-1$ and $\varepsilon(G) = 3$,
3. $\mathbf{m}_n(n-i) = 3i$ if $1 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil$,
4. Suppose $4 \leq a < \frac{2n}{3}$. Then $\mathbf{m}_n(a) = \bar{t}(n, q)$ if $a = 2q$, and $\mathbf{m}_n(a) = \bar{t}(n-1, q)$ if $a = 2q+1$, for some integer q , and
5. $\mathbf{m}_n(3) = \binom{n-1}{2}$ if $n \geq 3$, and $\mathbf{m}_n(2) = \binom{n}{2}$ if $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 3.39 *Let n and m be integers with $0 \leq m \leq \binom{n}{2}$. Then*

1. $\min(f; m, n) = \max(f; m, n) = n$ if and only if $m \in \{0, 1, 2\}$,
2. $\min(f; m, n) = \max(f; m, n) = 2$ if and only if $m = \binom{n}{2}$, and
3. for $3 \leq a \leq n-1$, $\min(f; m, n) = a$ if and only if $\mathbf{m}_n(a) \leq m < \mathbf{m}_n(a-1)$.

We now find the minimum number of edges of a connected graph order n having the forest number a . Let $\mathcal{CG}(n; f = a)$ be the set of all connected graphs of order n having the forest number a . For integers n and a , let

$$\mathbf{cm}_n(a) = \min \{ \varepsilon(G) : G \in \mathcal{CG}(n; f = a) \}.$$

Further, $\mathbf{cm}_n(n) = n-1$, $\mathbf{cm}_n(2) = \binom{n}{2}$. We now find $\mathbf{cm}_n(a)$ for $2 < a < n$.

Let $\mathcal{CG}^*(n; f = a) = \{G \in \mathcal{CG}(n; f = a) : G \text{ is obtained from } \lceil \frac{a}{2} \rceil \text{ disjoint cliques and } \lceil \frac{a}{2} \rceil - 1 \text{ edges}\}$. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.40 *Let G be a connected graph of order n with $f(G) = a$. Then there exists a graph $H \in \mathcal{CG}^*(n; f = a)$ such that $\varepsilon(H) \leq \varepsilon(G)$.*

By Theorem 3.40 we know that for a graph $G \in \mathcal{CG}(n; f = a)$, there may be many such graphs $H \in \mathcal{CG}^*(n; f = a)$. We now seek for such a graph H with minimum number of edges. By applying Turán Theorem once again, we have the following theorems.

Theorem 3.41 *Let n and a be integers with $2 \leq a \leq n - 1$. Then*

1. $\mathbf{cm}_n(n) = n - 1$,
2. Suppose that $4 \leq a \leq n - 1$. Then $\mathbf{cm}_n(a) = \bar{t}(n, q) + q - 1$ if $a = 2q$, and $\mathbf{cm}_n(a) = \bar{t}(n - 1, q) + q$ if $a = 2q + 1$, for some integer q , and
3. $\mathbf{cm}_n(3) = \binom{n-1}{2} + 1$ if $n \geq 3$, and $\mathbf{cm}_n(2) = \binom{n}{2}$ if $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 3.42 *Let n and m be integers with $n - 1 \leq m \leq \binom{n}{2}$. Then*

1. $\text{Min}(f; m, n) = \text{Max}(f; m, n) = n$ if and only if $m = n - 1$,
2. $\text{Min}(f; m, n) = \text{Max}(f; m, n) = 2$ if and only if $m = \binom{n}{2}$, and
3. for $3 \leq a \leq n - 1$, $\text{Min}(f; m, n) = a$ if and only if $\mathbf{cm}_n(a) \leq m < \mathbf{cm}_n(a - 1)$.

Problem 10. Several graph parameters have been proved to satisfy an intermediate value theorem over $\mathcal{G}(m, n)$ and $\mathcal{CG}(m, n)$ as stated in Theorem 2.15. Find $\text{min}(\pi; m, n)$; $\text{max}(\pi; m, n)$, $\text{Min}(\pi; m, n)$ and $\text{Max}(\pi; m, n)$ where $\pi \in \{\alpha, \alpha', \gamma\}$.

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank the Thailand Research Fund for providing him financial support to do research in Graph Theory for the past 10 years.

References

1. Bondy, J. A., and Murty, U. S. R. 1976. Graph Theory with Applications. 1st Edition. The MacMillan Press. 264 p.
2. Harary, F. Mokken, R. J., and Plantholt, M. 1983. Interpolation Theorems for Diameters of Spanning Trees. IEEE Trans. *Circuits and Systems* 30(7): 429-432.
3. Eggleton, R. B., and Holton, D. A. 1979. Graphic Sequences, Combinatorial Mathematics, VI (Proceedings of the Sixth Australian Conference. University of New England, Armidale. New England. Armidale. 1978). *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 748: 1-10.

4. Taylor, R. 1981. Constrained Switchings in Graphs. *Combinatorial Mathematics, VIII* (Geelong, 1980). *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 884: 314-336.
5. Taylor, R. 1982. Switchings Constrained to 2-Connectivity in Simple Graphs. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics* 3(1): 114-121.
6. Erdős, P., and Gallai, T. 1964. Solution of a Problem of Dirac, *Theory of Graphs and its Applications: Proceedings of the Symposium, Smolenice, June 1963*. Publishing House of the Czechoslovakian Academy of Science, Prague: 167-168.
7. Caccetta, L., and Pullman, N. J. 1990. Regular Graphs with Prescribed Chromatic Number. *Journal of Graph Theory* 14: 65-71.
8. Punnim, N. 2002. Degree Sequences and Chromatic Number of Graphs. *Graphs and Combinatorics* 18(3): 597-603.
9. Punnim, N. 2002. The Clique Number of Regular Graphs. *Graphs and Combinatorics* 18(4): 781-785.
10. Punnim, N. 2004. The Matching Number of Regular Graphs. *Thai Journal of Mathematics* 2: 133-140.
11. Gallai, T. 1959. Über extreme Punkt-und Kantenmenger. *Annales Universitatis Scientiarum Budapestinensis de Rolando Eötvös Nominatae Sectio Mathematica* 2: 133-138.
12. Punnim, N. 2003. On Maximum Induced Forests in Graphs. *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics* 27: 667-673.
13. Punnim, N. 2004. Interpolation Theorems on Graph Parameters. *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics* 28: 533-538.
14. Punnim, N. 2007. Interpolation Theorems in Jump Graphs. *The Australasian Journal of Combinatorics* 39: 103-114.
15. Brooks, R. L. 1941. On Colouring the Nodes of a Network. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* 37: 194-197.
16. Punnim, N. 2001. On $F(j)$ -graphs and their applications. *The Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing* 38: 65-72.
17. Punnim, N. 2003. Forests in Random Graphs. *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics* 27: 333-339.
18. Punnim, N. 2005. Decycling Regular Graphs. *The Australasian Journal of Combinatorics* 32: 147-162.
19. Punnim, N. 2006. Decycling Connected Regular Graphs. *The Australasian Journal of Combinatorics* 35: 155-169.

20. West, D. B. 2001. Introduction to Graph Theory. 2nd Edition. U.S.A. Prentice Hall.
21. Chantasartrassmee, A., and Punnim, N. 2008. Forest in Biquartic Graphs. *Contributions in General Algebra II (ICDMA)*: 71-77.
22. Pike, D. A. 2003. Decycling Hypercubes. *Graphs and Combinatorics* 19: 547-550.
23. Tutte, W. T. 1947. The Factorization of Linear Graphs. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* 22: 107-111.
24. Wallis, W. D. 1981. The Smallest Regular Graphs without One-Factors. *Ars Combinatoria* 11: 295-300.
25. Mantel, W. 1907. Problem 28, Solution by Gouwentak, H., Mantel, W., Teixeira de Mattes, J., Schuh, F., and Wythoff, W. A. *Wiskundige Opgaven* 10: 60-61.
26. Turán, P. 1941. Eine Extremalaufgabe aus der Graphentheorie. *Mat. Fiz. Lapook* 48: 436-452.
27. Chantasartrassmee, A., and Punnim, N. 2008. The Forest Number in (n,m) -Graphs. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (LNCS)* 4535: 33-40.