พารามิเตอร์ทางเภสัชจลนศาสตร์ของวอริโคนาโชลแบ่งตามฟีโนไทป์ของ CYP2C19 Voriconazole Pharmacokinetic Parameters Based on CYP2C19 Phenotype

นิพนธ์ต้นฉบับ

Original Article

ุมณฑิรา ดันตสวัสดิ์ 1,2 , ชาญกิจ พุฒิเลอพงศ์ 2* , ดวงจิดต์ พนมวัน ณ อยุธยา 2 และ ประวัฒน์ จันทฤทธิ์ 3

- ¹ นิสิตปรัชญาดุษฎีบัณฑิต สาขาการบริบาลทางเภสัชกรรม
- 2 ภาควิชาเภสัชกรรมปฏิบัติ คณะเภสัชศาสตร์ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย กรุงเทพฯ 10330
- ³ ภาควิชาอายุรศาสตร์ คณะแพทยศาสตร์โรงพยาบาลรามาธิบดี มหาวิทยาลัยมหิดล กรุงเทพฯ 10400
- * Corresponding author: chankit.p@chula.ac.th
- วารสารไทยเภสัชศาสตร์และวิทยาการสุขภาพ 2563;15(1):43-48.

บทคัดย่อ

วัตถประสงค์: เพื่อหาค่าพารามิเตอร์ทางเภสัชจลนศาสตร์ของวอริโคนาโซลซึ่งจะ นำไปสู่ขนาดวอริโคนาโซลที่เหมาะสมโดยแบ่งตามฟีโนไทป์ของ CYP2C19 เพื่อให้ได้ระดับยาต่ำสุดในเลือดอยู่ในช่วงการรักษาที่ 1-5 มก./ลิตร วิ**ธีการศึกษา**: การวิจัยเชิงสำรวจโดยการเก็บข้อมูลย้อนหลังจากเวชระเบียนของผู้ป่วยผู้ใหญ่ที่ ได้รับการรักษาด้วยวอริโคนาโซลระหว่างเดือนมกราคม 2555 ถึงมีนาคม 2559 ณ โรงพยาบาลรามาธิบดี ข้อมูลดังกล่าว ได้แก่ อายุเพศ น้ำหนัก จีโนไทป์และฟิโนไทป์ของ CYP2C19 แบบแผนการให้ยาวอริโคนาโซลและระดับ ยาต่ำสุดในเลือด แล้วคำนวณค่าพารามิเตอร์ทางเภสัชจลนศาสตร์ของวอริโคนา โซลโดยใช้สมการ Michaelis-Menten จากนั้นคำนวณขนาดวอริโคนาโซลเพื่อให้ ระดับยาอยู่ในช่วงการรักษาแบ่งตามฟีโนไทป์ของ 2C19 ผลการศึกษา:จาก ผู้ป่วยทั้งหมด 53 ราย เป็นเพศชาย 29 ราย (54.7%) อายุและน้ำหนักเฉลี่ย 52.98 ปี และ 57.97 กิโลกรัม ตามลำดับ ค่ามัธยฐานของค่าคงที่ Michaelis-Menten (K_m) สำหรับผู้ที่มีฟิโนไทป์ของ CYP2C19 แบบ extensive metabolizer (EM) และ แบบ non-extensive metabolizer (non-EM) เท่ากับ 0.262 มก./ลิตร และ 0.666 มก./ลิตร ตามลำดับ (*P*-value = 0.008) ค่ามัธยฐานของอัตราการเมทาบอลิสมยา สงสด (V_{max}) สำหรับ EM และ non-EM เท่ากับ 0.425 มก./กก./ชม. และ 0.483 มก./กก./ชม. ตามลำดับ (*P*-value = 0.262) ขนาดวอริโคนาโซลที่แนะนำเพื่อให้ได้ ระดับยาต่ำสุดในเลือดอยู่ในช่วง 1 - 5 มก./ลิตร เท่ากับ 8.9 - 10.7 มก./กก./วัน และ 6.7 - 9.9 มก./กก./วัน สำหรับ EM และ non-EM ตามลำดับ และเพื่อให้ สะดวกในทางปฏิบัติ ขนาดวอริโคนาโซลที่แนะนำสำหรับ EM และ non-EM เท่ากับ 10 มก./กก./วัน และ 8.5 มก./กก./วัน ตามลำดับ เพื่อให้ได้ระดับยาต่ำสุด ในเลือดประมาณ 2 มก./ลิตร สรุป: การศึกษานี้ให้ข้อมูล K_m,V_{max} และขนาดยาว อริโคนาโซลสำหรับผู้ป่วยผู้ใหญ่ไทยแบ่งตามฟีโนไทป์ของ CYP2C19 แต่จากค่า $\mathbf{K}_{\!\scriptscriptstyle m}$ และ $\mathbf{V}_{\!\scriptscriptstyle max}$ ที่มีความแปรปรวนค่อนข้างมากพิจารณาจากช่วงที่กว้าง จึงยังไม่ แนะนำให้ใช้ขนาดยาที่คำนวณได้จากการศึกษานี้จนกว่าจะได้ทดสอบความ เหมาะสมของขนาดยาดังกล่าว การติดตามตรวจวัดระดับยาในเลือดจึงยังจำเป็น

คำสำคัญ: วอริโคนาโซล, ฟีโนไทป์ของ CYP2C19, เภสัชจลนศาสตร์แบบไม่เป็น เส้นตรง, ขนาดยาแนะนำ

Editorial note

Manuscript received in original form on January 10, 2018;
revised December 5, 2019;
and accepted in final form on December 18, 2019

Montira Tantasawat 1,2 , Chankit Puttilerpong 2* , Duangchit Panomvana 2 and Prawat Chantharit 3

- ¹ Ph.D. student (Pharmaceutical Sciences)
- ² Department of Pharmacy Practice, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand
- ³ Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, 10400, Thailand
- * Corresponding author: chankit.p@chula.ac.th

Thai Pharmaceutical and Health Science Journal 2020;15(1):43-48.

Abstract

Objective: To determine pharmacokinetic parameters of voriconazole (VRZ) that will lead to finding appropriate VRZ dose to maintain trough concentration (Ctr) within target therapeutic range of 1-5 mg/L based on CYP2C19 phenotype. Methods: The medical records of adult patients who received VR7 treatment between January 2012 and March 2016 at Ramathibodi Hospital were retrospectively reviewed. Patient's data including gender, age, body weight, CYP2C19 genotype and phenotype, VRZ dosing regimen, and VRZ C_{tr} were collected. The pharmacokinetic parameters of VRZ were calculated using conventional nonlinear pharmacokinetic study, i.e., Michaelis-Menten equation. Proper dose for keeping VRZ C_{tr} within therapeutic range were then determined for each CYP2C19 phenotype. Results: A total of 53 patients were included into this study. Twenty-nine (54.7%) were male with mean age and body weight of 52.98 yrs and 57.97 kg, respectively. Median Michaelis-Menten constant (K_m) for CYP2C19 extensive metabolizers (EM) and non-extensive metabolizers (non-EM) were 0.262 mg/L and 0.666 mg/L, respectively (P-value = 0.008). Median maximum rate of metabolism (V_{max}) for EM and non-EM were 0.425 mg/kg/h and 0.483 mg/kg/h, respectively (P-value = 0.262). The doses to achieve therapeutic C_{tr} (1 - 5 mg/L) were 8.9 - 10.7 mg/kg/day and 6.7 - 9.9 mg/kg/day for EM and non-EM, respectively. For more applicable in real world practice, the rounded dose of 10 mg/kg/day and 8.5 mg/kg/day for EM and non-EM, respectively, were recommended to provide VRZ Ctr around 2 mg/L. $\textbf{Conclusion:} \ \ \textbf{This present study provided Michaelis-Menten constant (K}_{m} \ \text{and} \\$ V_{max}) of VRZ for Thai adult patients and the dose recommendation for this patient group based on CYP2C19 phenotype. The $\rm K_{\rm m}$ and $\rm V_{\rm max}$ of VRZ in this study show high variability judged from their wide range, therefore our recommended doses still cannot be used in practice unless its appropriate would be validated. Therapeutic drug monitoring of VRZ is still warranted.

Keywords: voriconazole, CYP2C19 phenotype, nonlinear pharmacokinetic, dose recommendation

Journal website: http://ejournals.swu.ac.th/index.php/pharm/index

Introduction

Voriconazole (VRZ) is a synthetic triazole antifungal derived from fluconazole. VRZ has a broad spectrum and is recommended in the treatment of invasive fungal infections (IFIs) caused by common pathogens such as *Aspergillus*,

Candida, Cryptococcus neoformans, as well as less common pathogens such as Fusarium and Pseudallescheria. IFIs caused by these species resulted in significant mortality and morbidity, especially in immunocompromised patients such as

those receiving chemotherapy and immunosuppressive therapy (e.g., solid organ or bone marrow transplant patients, and systemic lupus erythematous (SLE) patients), or those infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV).2 VRZ pharmacokinetics exhibit high inter- and intra-individual variability because it has nonlinear pharmacokinetics associated with its saturated hepatic metabolism and with diverse patient characteristics. VRZ is metabolized mainly by CYP2C19 isozyme, and to the lesser extent by CYP3A4 and CYP2C9.3,4 Therefore, CYP2C19 polymorphisms may explain inter-individual variability in voriconazole CYP2C19*2 and CYP2C19*3 are the variant alleles associated with a decreased activity of CYP2C19; on the contrary, CYP2C19*17 is the variant allele associated with an increased activity of CYP2C19.5,6 On the basis of CYP2C19 metabolizing activity, individuals could be classified into four CYP2C19 phenotypes, specifically (i) ultra-rapid metabolizers (UM), e.g., *17/*17, *1/*17, (ii) extensive metabolizers (EM), e.g., *1/*1, (iii) intermediate metabolizers (IM), e.g., *1/*2, *1/*3, *2/*17, *3/*17, and (iv) poor metabolizers (PM), e.g., *2/*2, *3/*3, *2/*3.7 Many studies have shown that the frequency of CYP2C19 polymorphisms varies among different ethnic groups.^{8,9} A number of studies have documented that patients with a PM phenotype have VRZ plasma concentrations 2 - 4 times higher than those with an EM phenotype; while patients with a UM phenotype (*1/ *17, *17/*17) have voriconazole plasma levels lower than EM (*1/*1) patients.9-11 In addition to CYP2C19 polymorphism, various factors have been reported to influence the VRZ plasma concentrations such as age^{3,12}, drug interactions^{3,12}, albumin level¹³, C-reactive protein level¹³ and body weight³; whereas some studies reported no significant relationship between the plasma concentration and genotype14, age14, sex^{12,14} or use of concomitant proton pump inhibitors. 14,15 Unpredictability of VRZ plasma concentrations complicated its usefulness in clinical practice. This study aimed to determine pharmacokinetic parameters of VRZ based on CYP2C19 phenotype which will lead to finding a dose that will optimize the invasive aspergillosis treatment.

Methods

Patient enrollment and data collection

Thai patients aged 18 years or older who were being treated with voriconazole at least two different doses and had

at least one plasma trough concentration (C_{tr}) of each dose at Ramathibodi Hospital, Thailand between January 2013 and March 2016 were eligible for participation. Patients' medical records were reviewed and patients-specific characteristics were retrospectively collected. Voriconazole dosage regimen, administration time, blood sampling time, steady state voriconazole trough concentration, and duration voriconazole treatment were collected. VRZ was considered to be at steady state after 24 hrs of administration following two loading doses or after 5 days without loading dose and blood samples were drawn before the next dose would be considered a trough concentration. 16 Patients were excluded if they had severe hepatic disease which was defined as the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) version 4.0 of grade ≥ 4, or were pregnant. Voriconazole concentrations were analyzed by toxicology laboratory of Ramathibodi Hospital, Thailand where validated liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) assay was used.

DNA extraction and CYP2C19 genotyping

Genomic DNA was extracted from venous blood specimens with the use of a purifier kit (QIAamp DNA Blood mini kit; Qiagen NV, Venlo, Netherlands) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The association of genetic variants in the CYP2C19 gene encoding enzyme was tested in this study to assess the effect of CYP2C19*2 (splicing defect G681A SNP), CYP2C19*3 (stop codon G636A SNP) and CYP2C19*17 (increased enzyme activity q. -806 C > T) allelic variation in response to clopidogrel. A microarray-based technique (AmpliChip CYP450 test; Roche, Basel, Switzerland) was performed to genotype the CYP2C19 gene (*1/*2/*3). Regarding the predicted metabolic phenotypes related to CYP2C19 polymorphisms, an extensive metabolizer was defined as a patient who had a homozygous wild-type genotype (CYP2C19*1/*1) and an ultra-rapid metabolizer was defined as a patient who had a heterozygous genotype with at least one CYP2C19*17 allele (CYP2C19*1/*17 or CYP2C19*17/*17). metabolizer was defined as a patient who had a heterozygous with genotype at least one CYP2C19*1 allele (CYP2C19*1/*2 or *1/*3), and a poor metabolizer was classified as a patient who had a homozygous (CYP2C19*2/*2 or *3/*3) or heterozygous (CYP 2C19*2/*3) genotype with a mutant allele.

This study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, 1996 good clinical practice. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Ramathibodi Hospital, Thailand (Protocol number 07-57-21).

Analysis of voriconazole pharmacokinetics

Voriconazole exhibits nonlinear pharmacokinetic profiles which could be described by Michaelis-Menten equation (1)

$$R = \frac{V_{max} \cdot C}{K_m + C} \tag{1}$$

R specifies a voriconazole dosing rate which is expressed in milligram per kilogram per hour (mg/kg/h). With an initial dosing rate of 8 mg/kg/day, dosing rate would be adjusted according to the measured concentration. V_{max} represents the maximum rate of metabolism at saturating substrate concentration (mg/kg/h). $K_{\rm m}$ or Michaelis constant is voriconazole concentration at which the metabolism rate is half of V_{max} which is expressed in milligram per liter (mg/L). Finally, C is the steady state voriconazole trough concentration (mg/L). determine Tο patient-specific pharmacokinetic parameters, \boldsymbol{K}_{m} and \boldsymbol{V}_{max} , we need two dosing rates (R₁ and R₂) and one trough concentration from each dosing rate (C₁ and C₂) using the equations (2) and (3). Then the recommended dose will be calculated (R) using previously determined \mathbf{K}_{m} and $\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{max}}$ for this patient group to keep voriconazole concentration within therapeutic range (i.e., 1.0 - 5.0 mg/L).

$$K_m = \frac{R_1 - R_2}{\frac{R_2}{C_2} - \frac{R_1}{C_2}} \tag{2}$$

$$V_{max} = Km\frac{R}{C} + R \tag{3}$$

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS, version 21 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Continuous data (i.e., K_m and V_{max}) were presented as mean with standard deviation and median with interquartile range (IQR); while categorical data as proportions. Analyses of continuous data were performed using Mann-Whitney U test due to the nonnormality of voriconazole concentrations. Statistical significance was set at a type I error of 5%, or P-value of less than 0.05.

Results

Subjects and clinical characteristics

A total of 53 adult patients with invasive aspergillosis (IA) who were receiving voriconazole and whose submitted blood samples were analyzed for voriconazole trough plasma concentrations were included in this study. Demographic and clinical characteristics of the patient population are summarized in Table 1. Mean (SD) age of patients in this study was 52.98 (15.64) years. Slightly more than half (n = 29, or 54.7%) of the patients were male. Mean (SD) body weight is 57.97 (9.99) kg.

The number and frequencies of variant alleles of CYP2C19 in our population are shown in Table 1. Of these patients, *1/*1 was the majority (n = 26, or 49.1%) followed by *1/*2 (n = 19, or 35.8%). When patients were classified according to CYP2C19 phenotypes, there were 26 (49.1%) extensive metabolizers (EM), 22 (41.5%) intermediate metabolizers (IM), and 5 (9.4%) poor metabolizers (PM).

Pharmacokinetic analysis

Median (IQR) K_m of VRZ was 0.262 (0.29) mg/L for EM and 0.666 (1.78) mg/L for non-EM (P-value = 0.008). Median (IQR) V_{max} of VRZ was 0.425 (0.14) mg/kg/h and 0.483 (0.25) mg/kg/h for EM and non-EM, respectively. Median (IQR) plasma VRZ trough concentration (C_{tr}) after initiation of same maintenance dose of 8 mg/kg/day, divided into 2 doses, were 1.870 mg/L (3.20) and 2.060 mg/L (4.69), for EM and non-EM, respectively (Table2). No significant differences were observed for V_{max} and C_{tr} between CYP2C19 EM and non-EM.

Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of participants (N = 53).

Characteristics	Number of patients (%)		
Gender			
Male	29 (54.7)		
Female	24 (45.3)		
Age (yrs), mean \pm SD (range)	52.98 ± 15.64 (18.09 - 82.48)		
Body weight (kg), mean \pm SD (range)	57.97 ± 9.99 (38.80 - 84.00)		
CYP2C19 genotype			
*1/*1	26 (49.1)		
*1/*2	19 (35.8)		
*1/*3	3 (5.7)		
*2/*2	2 (3.8)		
*3/*3	1 (1.9)		
*2/*3	2 (3.8)		
CYP2C19 phenotype			
Extemsive metabolizer (EM)	26 (49.1)		
Non-EM			
Intermediate metabolizer	22 (41.5)		
Poor metabolizer	5 (9.4)		

Table 2 Pharmacokinetic parameters of voriconazole classified by CYP2C19 phenotype (N = 53).

CYP2C19	median ± IQR					
phenotype	K _m (mg/L)	P-value	V _{max} (mg/kg/h)	P-value	C _{trough} (mg/L)	P-value
EM (n = 26)	0.262 ± 0.29	0.008**	0.425 ± 0.14	0.262	1.870 ± 3.20	0.845
Non-EM (n = 27)	0.666 ± 1.78		0.483 ± 0.25		2.060 ± 4.69	

^{*} Statistically significant at P-value < 0.01, Median Km and Vmax for all patients were 0.391 mg/L and 0.467 mg/kg/h, respectively.

Based on calculated pharmacokinetics parameters, median $\rm K_m$ of 0.262 mg/L for EM and 0.666 mg/L for non-EM, and median $\rm V_{max}$ of 0.467 mg/kg/h for all patients, optimal dose of VRZ were then be estimated using Michaelis-Menten equation (eq.1) to keep $\rm C_{tr}$ within a therapeutic range of 1.0 - 5.0 mg/L. The recommend doses were 8.9 – 10.7 mg/kg/day and 6.7 – 9.9 mg/kg/day divided into 2 equal doses and given every 12 hrs, for EM and non-EM, respectively (Table 3).

To be more applicable in clinical practice, we recommend VRZ daily dose of 10 mg/kg/day and 8.5 mg/kg/day for EM and non-EM, respectively, to keep plasma VRZ $C_{\rm trough}$ approximately 2 mg/L.

Table 3 Recommended VRZ dose for IA treatment according to CYP2C19 phenotype (N = 53).

Target Trough Concentration (mg/L)	Dose (mg/kg/day)			
	Extensive metabolizer	Non-extensive metabolizer		
	(N = 26)	(N = 27)		
1	8.9	6.7		
1.5	9.5	7.8		
2	9.9	8.4		
2.5	10.2	8.9		
3	10.3	9.2		
3.5	10.4	9.4		
4	10.5	9.6		
4.5	10.6	9.8		
5	10.7	9.9		
Recommended dose* (mg/kg/day)	10.0	8.5		

^{*} To keep C_{tr} of approximately 2 mg/L.

Discussions and Conclusion

Calculated K_m for EM was lower than that in non-EM which was reasonable because the lower K_m the higher metabolizing activity. The median K_m for all of our patients was 0.391 mg/L which was lower than K_m reported by Masumoto K et al. of 1.32 mg/L.¹⁷ It was possibly since there was a higher frequency of CYP2C19 EM in our study when compared to that frequency reported in Japanese population.⁷ Other factor that might explain the low value of our patient's K_m was there was no CYP2C19*17 genotype which is a functioning allele,

in our study. However, the frequency of this variant in Thai population was relatively low. Other than CYP2C19, CYP3A4 is also responsible for VRZ metabolism and CYP3A4 is a polymorphism as well; but the effects of CYP3A4 variants were not examined in this study. Regarding calculated V_{max} , it was not much different between the value of our patients and previous studies 4.17,18, that means the maximum rate of VRZ metabolism was not different among ethnic groups.

Conventional pharmacokinetic study can be used even in the setting that CYP2C19 genotyping was not available, dose adjustment can be performed by K_m and V_{max} calculation. Nonetheless, population pharmacokinetic was also be performed and reported in our other study (unpublished data).

Doses recommended by the present study was not equal to those in VRZ package insert which recommend daily dose of 12 mg/kg/day, divided into 2 equal doses for 1 day as loading dose followed by 8 mg/kg/day given every 12 hrs as maintenance doses for adult patient. Based on our calculation, if EM individuals received VRZ dose as recommended in the package insert, VRZ plasma $C_{\rm tr}$ will lower than 1 mg/L which was insufficient while those with IM or PM can maintain VRZ plasma $C_{\rm tr}$ within therapeutic range. CYP2C19 EM was the majority of Thai population as well as Asian population. Therefore, CYP2C19 polymorphisms should get more attention for VRZ dosing to optimize VRZ plasma $C_{\rm tr}$. Based on our calculation, we recommend a maintenance dose of 10 mg/kg/day for CYP2C19 EM and 8.5 mg/kg/day for non-EM to maintain the steady state VRZ $C_{\rm tr}$ around 2 mg/L.

It can be seen that the calculated K_m and V_{max} value had a high uncertainty, considering a fairly wide range compared to the median value. Alhough the median C_{tr} levels after receiving the same maintenance dose were not different between EM and non-EM, these values were presented with wide ranges. However, they showed the reasonably trend of a higher median C_{tr} for non-EM. Accordingly, the recommended dose in this study is still not recommended for practical use, unless its propriety would be validated because of the high inter-individual variation.

Our study had several limitations. This retrospective study enrolled a relatively small number of patients with a limited number of PMs (9.4%, 5/53). We did not exclude patients who received medications that may interact with voriconazole. Most frequently co-administered VRZ with possible drug interactions were proton pump inhibitors and glucocorticoids. The study of Zvyaga et al. revealed that proton pump inhibitors

are mostly weak inhibitors of cytochrome P450 in vivo; however, two members (esomeprazole and omeprazole) are more likely to serve as clinically relevant inhibitors of CYP2C19.20 Nonetheless, another study showed that receiving proton pump inhibitors together with VRZ had no effect on VRZ levels and only patients who received higher dose of omeprazole (> 20 mg/d) were more likely to have higher concentrations of VRZ.¹⁵ In addition, there was a study showing that omeprazole was the most potent CYP2C19 inhibitor, whereas rabeprazole had no influence on VRZ (omeprazole > esomeprazole > lansoprazole > rabeprazole). However, in consideration of the therapeutic concentration range, dosage adjustment of VRZ is unnecessary regardless of which proton pump inhibitor was co-administered.²¹ There were three patients in this present study receiving omegrazole before initiating VRZ but had been changed to lansoprazole once VRZ was introduced.

When considering the induction of CYP2C19, there were no co-administrations of VRZ and CYP2C19 inducers, such as rifampicin, phenobarbital, phenytoin, or carbamazepine. However, co-administration of glucocorticoids was found. Glucocorticoids may increase or decrease VRZ level via CYP3A4 and CYP2C19 interactions.²² Recent study reported an inverse correlation between VRZ concentration and corticosteroid dose.²³ The results from a systematic review by Li et al. showed that glucocorticoids had inconclusive effect on VRZ pharmacokinetics.24 From six cohorts, one showed that treatment with glucocorticoids had no impact on VRZ C_{tr}, three studies reported that glucocorticoids slightly elevated the metabolism of VRZ but with no significance, and it did not affect the plasma exposure of VRZ. Two studies included in the review found that co-administration of VRZ with glucocorticoids led to a significant decrease in normalized VRZ C_{tr.}²⁴ However, one recent physiology-based pharmacokinetic model study showed that the predicted maximum concentration and the area under the plasma concentration-time curve from 0 hrs to infinity (AUC $_{0\rightarrow_{inf}}$) of VRZ remained unchanged when in combination with dexamethasone.²⁵ It suggested that dexamethasone had no influence on the pharmacokinetics of VRZ.25

In addition, results from a population pharmacokinetic analysis showed that both proton pump inhibitors and glucocorticoids were not a significant covariate of VRZ clearance.²⁶ In our present study, many patients were on glucocorticoids and proton pump inhibitors concomitantly.Co-

administration of a CYP450 enzyme inducer and inhibitor simultaneously could potentially decrease the potential effects of these medications. However, physicians should continue to pay attention when prescribing enzyme inducers and inhibitors together with voriconazole.

Furthermore, since there were only five PMs included in this study, we could not calculate the recommended dose for each phenotypic group, i.e. EM, IM, and PM. The study of Kim et al. could offer CYP2C19 phenotype-guided initial dosing regimen for EM, IM, and PM individuals.²⁶ Unfortunately, optimal recommendation corresponding to individual phenotype differences could be made but with some cautions. Therefore, further studies with a relatively large number of patients with each CYP2C19 phenotype are needed.

In conclusion, K_m and V_{max} of VRZ in EM and non-EM were 0.262 mg/L and 0.425 mg/kg/h, and 0.666 mg/L and 0.483 mg/kg/h, respectively. Our recommended maintenance dose of VRZ directed by CYP2C19 phenotype of 10 mg/kg/day and 8.5 mg/kg/day for CYP2C19 EM and non-EM have not been validated, therefore it is not recommended for practical use. In addition, we recommended therapeutic drug monitoring should be performed concurrently with VRZ treatment due to high intra- and inter-individual variation of VRZ's pharmacokinetics.

Acknowledgement

This study was funded by the National Science and Technology Development Agency (NSTDA), Ministry of Higher Education, Science, Research, and Innovation, Thailand.

References

- Kofla G, Ruhnke M. Voriconazole: review of a broad spectrum triazole antifungal agent. Expert Opin Pharmacother 2005;6(7):1215-1229.
- Pfaller MA, Pappas PG, Wingard JR. Invasive Fungal Pathogens: Current Epidemiological Trends. Clin Infect Dis 2006;43:S3-14.
- Hyland R, Jones BC, Smith DA. Identification of the cytochrome P450 enzymes involved in the N-oxidation of voriconazole. *Drug Metab Dispos* 2003;31(5):540-546.
- Dolton MJ, Mikus G, Weiss J, Ray JE, McLachlan AJ. Understanding variability with voriconazole using a population pharmacokinetic approach: implications for optimal dosing. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2014; 69(6):1633-1641.
- de Morais SM, Wilkinson GR, Blaisdellt J, Nakamurall K, Meyer UA, Goldstein JA. The major genetic defect responsible for the polymorphism of S-mephenytoin metabolism in human. *J Biol Chem* 1994;269(22): 15419-15422.

- Sim SC, Risinger C, Dahl ML, et al. A common novel CYP2C19 gene variant causes ultrarapid drug metabolism relevant for the drug response to proton pump inhibitors and antidepressants. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2006;79(1):103-113.
- Obeng AO, Egelund EF, Alsultan A, Peloquin CA, Johnson JA.
 CYP2C19 polymorphisms and therapeutic drug monitoring of voriconazole: are we ready for clinical implementation of pharmacogenomics? *Pharmacotherapy* 2014;34(7):703-718.
- Chawla PK, Nanday SR, Dherai AJ, et al. Correlation of CYP2C19 genotype with plasma voriconazole levels: a preliminary retrospective study in Indians. Int J Clin Pharm 2015;37:925-930.
- Scholz I, Oberwittler H, Riedel KD, et al. Pharmacokinetics, metabolism and bioavailability of the triazole antifungal agent voriconazole in relation to CYP2C19 genotype. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2009;68(6):906-915.
- Shi HY, Yan J, Zhu WH, et al. Effects of erythromycin on voriconazole pharmacokinetics and association with CYP2C19 polymorphism. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2010;66(11):1131-1136.
- Wang G, Lei HP, Li Z, et al. The CYP2C19 ultra-rapid metabolizer genotype influences the pharmacokinetics of voriconazole in healthy male volunteers. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2009;65(3):281-285.
- Hoenigl M, Duettmann W, Raggam RB, et al. Potential factors for inadequate voriconazole plasma concentrations in intensive care unit patients and patients with hematological malignancies. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2013;57(7):3262-3267.
- Dote S, Sawai M, Nozaki A, Naruhashi K, Kobayashi Y, Nakanishi H. A retrospective analysis of patient-specific factors on voriconazole clearance. *J Pharm Health Care Sci* 2016;2:10. (doi: 10.1186/s40780-016-0044-9)
- Saini L, Seki JT, Kumar D, Atenafu EG, Cole DE, Wong BY, et al. Serum voriconazole level variability in patients with hematological malignancies receiving voriconazole therapy. Can Infect Dis Med Microbiol 2014; 25(5):271-276.
- Chayakulkeeree M, Poovipirom N, Siengwattana P, Maneerattanaporn M. Effect of proton pump inhibitor on plasma voriconazole concentration in Thai patients. J Med Assoc Thai 2015;98(3):232-237.

- Theuretzbacher U, Ihle F, Derendorf H. Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic profile of voriconazole. Clin Pharmacokinet 2006;45(7):649-663
- Matsumoto K, Ikawa K, Abematsu K, et al. Correlation between voriconazole trough plasma concentration and hepatotoxicity in patients with different CYP2C19 genotypes. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2009;34(1): 91-94.
- Hope WW. Population pharmacokinetics of voriconazole in adults.
 Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2012;56(1):526-531.
- Pfizer Inc. Label: voriconazole for injection, tablets, oral suspension:
 LAB-0271-12. Product information. 2005.
- Zvyaga T, Chang SY, Chen C, et al. Evaluation of six proton pump inhibitors for various human cytochromes P450: focus on cytochrome P450 2C19. *Drug Metab Dispos* 2012;40(9):1698-1711.
- Qi F, Zhu L, Li N, Ge T, Xu G, Liao S. Influence of different proton pump inhibitors on the pharmacokinetics of voriconazole. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2017;49(4):403-409.
- Malani AN, Kerr LE, Kauffman CA. Voriconazole: How to use this antifungal agent and what to expect. Semin Respir Crit Care Med 2015; 36(5):786-795.
- Imataki O, Yamaguchi K, Uemura M, Fukuoka N. Voriconazole concentration is inversely correlated with corticosteroid usage in immunocompromised patients. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2018;20(4):e12886. (doi: 10.1111/tid.12886)
- Li TY, Liu W, Chen K, Liang SY, Liu F. The influence of combination use of CYP450 inducers on the pharmacokinetics of voriconazole: a systematic review. J Clin Pharm Ther 2017;42(2):135-146.
- Li MX, Zhu LQ, Chen L, Na L, Qi F. Assessment of drug-drug interactions between voriconazole and glucocorticoids. *J Chemother* 2018;30(5):296-303.
- Kim Y, Ree SJ, Park WB, Yu KS, Jang IJ, Lee S. A personalized CYP2C19 phenotype-guided dosing regimen of voriconazole using a population pharmacokinetic analysis. *J Clin Med* 2019;8(2):E227 (doi: 10.3390/jcm8020227)